arXiv:1605.02721v1 [math.AG] 9 May 2016

SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES FROM TOPOLOGICAL FUKAYA CATEGORIES

VIVEK SHENDE AND ALEX TAKEDA

ABSTRACT. The "topological Fukaya category" is the global sections of a certain sheaf of categories on a Lagrangian skeleton. Nadler has given a combinatorial description of this sheaf in the case of generic singularities. We show here that restriction to the boundary of this sheaf of categories determines a shifted Lagrangian morphism on the corresponding moduli spaces.

Examples of such moduli spaces include wild character varieties, certain cluster varieties, multiplicative Nakajima varieties, and the augmentation variety of knot contact homology. The general machinery of shifted symplectic geometry then returns symplectic and Poisson structures, and quantizations when these can be expected.

1. INTRODUCTION

The construction of symplectic and Poisson structures on moduli spaces parameterizing topological data on variously decorated manifolds has been of interest to many people, for instance [AB, Gol, Hit, Kar, HJ, Wei, AMM, FR, Boa, GSV, GSV2, FG3, FG4, Boa4, Boa5, LS, BY, Mei]. This is in no small part because spaces which are of interest for other, often Lie-theoretic, reasons can be described in this manner [Boa2, Boa3, FG, FST, Yam, LS2, Boa6, BK].

Recent work in the context of mirror symmetry suggests it is profitable to generalize from the consideration of local systems on manifolds to the consideration of the global sections of a certain sheaf of categories on a Lagrangian skeleton, which should capture the Fukaya category of a symplectic thickening [Wit2, NZ, N1, Kon, N2, GPS1, GPS2]. For deformation-generic skeleta, this sheaf of categories has a completely combinatorial description [N3, N4].

This combinatorial description can be used to show that this new context captures, in particular, many "decorations" which have previously been introduced by hand. In particular, those decorations giving rise to Stokes structures on the one hand, and to the cluster varieties associated to surfaces on the other, can be given a unified treatment in this framework [STWZ]. We will show in [ENS2] that the moduli space of augmentations of knot contact homology [Ng, EENS] can also be described in this manner. We recall some of these examples in more detail in Section 6.

Our purpose here is to construct (shifted) symplectic structures on all such spaces.

One of our major motivations is the fact that symplectic structures are the classical witness of quantum noncommutativity. The spaces of interest arise as moduli spaces of objects in the Fukaya category, and as such, should receive a quantization from higher genus information. Such quantizations are of vital importance, e.g. conjecturally a quantization of the knot contact moduli space should allow the HOMFLY polynomial of a knot to be recovered from the knot contact homology

[AENV], which in turn is essentially equivalent information to the fundamental group of the knot complement [She2, ENS]. We note that the moduli spaces we consider here are "already quantum corrected", i.e., already incorporate genus zero information. The description of the symplectic structure is the first step in the direction of understanding the higher genus quantization. Likewise, for cluster algebras coming from surfaces via [STZ] or [STWZ], the modular construction of the symplectic structures is a step towards a geometric understanding of the quantizations of [FG2].

Our treatment is made possible by the new technology of derived geometry [Lur, Toë2, TV1, TV2, TV3] and shifted symplectic structures [PTVV]. The role of such notions is already apparent in the consideration of local systems on manifolds. For example, the moduli space of local systems on a 2-manifold has long been known to be symplectic [AB, Gol],

but to make precise the statement that the moduli space of local systems on a 3-manifold with boundary in a 2-manifold should give a Lagrangian in this space requires some care and various conditions, at least if one restricts oneself to non-derived geometry [Sik].

In derived geometry, there is a clean general statement:

Theorem 1. [PTVV, Cal] *If $(X, \partial X)$ is an oriented manifold with boundary, then the choice of a nondegenerate bilinear form on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ gives an $3-\dim X$-shifted Lagrangian morphism of geometric derived stacks $RMap(X, BG) \to RMap(\partial X, BG)$.*

Here, $RMap(X, BG)$ is the derived mapping stack from a simplicial set giving the homotopy type of X to the classifying space of G bundles; one recovers the classical moduli space of local systems upon the appropriate truncation.

We recall that the notion of Lagrangian morphism includes both the notions of symplectic and Poisson structure: a d-shifted Lagrangian morphism to a point is a $(d-1)$-shifted symplectic structure, and any Lagrangian morphism induces a Poisson structure on its source [CPTVV].

Moreover, whatever these shifted notions mean, they recover the classical ones: a 0-shifted symplectic structure on a *scheme* can exist only if the scheme is smooth, and in this case is a symplectic form in the usual sense. Thus the above assertion implies in particular that the moduli of simple local systems on a surface carries a symplectic form in the usual sense. Likewise, in the case where X is a 3-manifold and ∂X is a 2-manifold, then along the locus where $RMap(X, BG) \to RMap(\partial X, BG)$ is an inclusion of smooth schemes of the expected dimension, the image is Lagrangian.

One application of the theory of shifted symplectic structures is that a (-1)-shifted symplectic structure (plus a half density, which we will not construct here) gives rise to a perverse sheaf [BBBJ]. In the prototypical case, where the (-1) shifted symplectic structure arises as the derived Lagrangian intersection between the zero section of a cotangent bundle and the differential of a function, the perverse sheaf is the sheaf of vanishing cycles along the critical locus of the function. When the moduli space is that of sheaves on an algebraic Calabi-Yau 3-fold, this perverse sheaf is the necessary input to study the cohomological refinement of Donaldson-Thomas theory [KoS].

Our construction opens the door to the study of the mirror theory in the setting of Weinstein 6-manifolds, that is, the cohomological Donaldson-Thomas theory for the CY3 category given by the Fukaya category of such a manifold. This subject includes, in the special case of cotangent bundles of 3-manifolds, a version of the complex Casson invariant of Curtis [Cur].

For background on derived algebraic geometry and shifted symplectic structures, we refer to the excellent survey [Toë3], and the foundational works [Lur, Toë2, TV1, TV2, TV3, PTVV, Cal, CPTVV, Pri1]. However, we will employ derived geometry here entirely as a black box, so very little knowledge of the subject is necessary to follow the arguments of the present work.

We will also largely elide motivations both vis a vis "brane quantization is sheaf quantization" [Wit2, OV, NZ, N1, Kon, N2, NRSSZ, GPS1, GPS2, ENS2] and microlocalization [KS, NZ, N3], and instead take as our starting point the combinatorial definitions of [N3]. There, to each tree T is attached a certain stratified topological space $\mathbb{T}$ such that (1) each stratum is labelled by a tree and (2) the attaching map from a smaller stratum to a larger is by a correspondence of trees.

The space $\mathbb{T}$ carries a constructible sheaf of categories $\mathcal{N}$; at the stratum labelled by a tree S, the category is the dg category of quiver representations of some quiver with underlying graph S.

We call this the category of arboreal systems, and denote it by $\mathcal{N}$. We review these notions in Section 2.

Definition 2. A locally arboreal space is a stratified space $\mathbb{X}$ equipped with a constructible sheaf of dg categories $\mathcal{O}$, such that $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$ is locally modelled on $(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{N})$.

As observed in [Kon], the category of sections of $\mathcal{O}$ over any open set $\mathbb{U} \subset \mathbb{X}$ is thus a finite limit of representation categories of finite algebras. It then follows from the general results of [TVa] that moduli spaces of objects $M(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{U}))$ exist as geometric (higher, derived) stacks.

We will shortly explain what it means to orient a locally arboreal space. In terms of this soon-to-be-defined concept, our main result is the following:

Theorem 3. *Let $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$ be a locally arboreal space with boundary $\partial\mathbb{X}$. An orientation of $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$ induces a Lagrangian structure of degree $3 - \dim \mathbb{X}$ on the morphism $M(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{X})) \to M(\mathcal{O}(\partial\mathbb{X}))$.*

Remark. When $\partial\mathbb{X} = \emptyset$, this says that $M(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{X}))$ is symplectic of degree $2 - \dim \mathbb{X}$.

One might expect that the above result would follow by constructing $M(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{X}))$ as a mapping stack and invoking the results of [PTVV], but in fact $M(\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{X}))$ is not a mapping stack in any sense that we understand – the different points of $\mathbb{X}$ have to map to different categories, which are constrained in terms of the topology of $\mathbb{X}$. Moreover, to our knowledge, none of these categories individually carries the requisite symplectic structure.

There is a variant of [PTVV] that we will use, stated in [Toë2]: to give a symplectic structure of degree $2 - d$ on the moduli of objects in some dg category $\mathcal{C}$, it suffices to give a morphism $HH_*(\mathcal{C}) \to k[-d]$ which is nondegenerate in the sense of inducing a perfect pairing

$$\mathcal{C}(a, b) \otimes \mathcal{C}(b, a) \to \mathcal{C}(a, a) \to HH(\mathcal{C}) \to k[-d]$$

In order to check this global criterion from local data, we develop a formalism of local orientations for constructible sheaves of categories:

Definition 4. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a stratified space of pure dimension d, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a constructible sheaf of categories on $\mathbb{X}$. Let $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C})$ be the sheafification of the pre-sheaf of Hochschild homologies. A local orientation of $\mathcal{C}$ is a morphism $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}) \to \omega_{\mathbb{X}}[-d]$, where $\omega_{\mathbb{X}}$ is the dualizing sheaf on $\mathbb{X}$.

For an open subset $U \subset X$, and objects $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(U)$, we write $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(A, B)$ for the constructible sheaf of morphisms on U. A local orientation induces a morphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_U(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A), \omega_U)[-d]$$

We say the local orientation is non-degenerate if this morphism is an isomorphism.

It is straightforward to show that (nondegenerate) local orientations give (nondegenerate) global orientations in the sense of [Toë2]; we do this in Section 3.2.

Remark. An essential point is that the global sections of the sheafification of Hochschild homologies is *m*uch easier to compute than the Hochschild homology of the global sections of the sheaf of categories – all information from large loops is discarded.

The above setup reduces us to the following local calculation.

Theorem 5. *Let $\vec{T}$ be a rooted tree, and $\mathbb{T}$ the corresponding arboreal singularity. Then $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N})$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}[-\dim \mathbb{T}]$ are isomorphic. The isomorphism is unique up to a scalar, and induces a non-degenerate local orientation.*

The above result shows that the following definition is sensible:

Definition 6. An orientation on a locally arboreal space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$ is an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{O}) \cong \omega_{\mathbb{X}}[-\dim \mathbb{X}]$$

Having made this definition, Theorem 3 is now intelligible, and follows from Theorem 5.

In a certain sense, we have defined our way out of the problem that $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathbb{X})$ is only locally isomorphic to $\omega_{\mathbb{X}}[-\dim \mathbb{X}]$. The reader should be somewhat reassured by the following:

Example. Let M be a manifold and k a field. Let Loc be the sheaf of categories of local systems of k-vector spaces on M. Then $\mathcal{HH}_*(Loc) = k_M$. A local orientation of the locally arboreal space (M, Loc) is a choice of isomorphism $k_M \cong \omega_M[-\dim M]$, i.e., an orientation of M in the sense of topology.

Remark. The failure of $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$ be orientable is determined by the nontriviality of the rank one local system $\mathcal{H}om(\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{O}), \omega_{\mathbb{X}}[-\dim \mathbb{X}])$. This is classified by the corresponding element of $H^1(\mathbb{X}, k^*)$. We will show that this is in fact by an element of $H^1(\mathbb{X}, \pm 1)$. We term this the first Stiefel-Whitney class of $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$.

Nonetheless, to apply the theorem, it is necessary to determine when a locally arboreal space is orientable. The following criterion will suffice for all the examples of interest here.

Theorem 7. *Let M be a smooth manifold, and $\Lambda \subset T^\infty M$ a smooth orientable Legendrian submanifold, so that the image of the projection $\Lambda \to M$ is immersed with normal crossings. Let $\mathbb{X}$ be the union of the zero section in T^*M and the cone over Λ; let μloc be the Kashiwara-Schapira stack on $\mathbb{X}$. Then $(\mathbb{X}, \mu loc)$ is an orientable locally arboreal space.*

We conclude the introduction with some comments on how the present work relates to previous ones. Often, symplectic structures on new spaces are constructed from known symplectic structures by some form of symplectic reduction; in particular, by the symplectic quotient construction. This was used in an infinite dimensional setting in [AB] — the space of connections is essentially an infinite dimensional vector space, which is then reduced by the symplectic action of the infinite dimensional gauge group. A finite dimensional account is presented [AMM], where the notion of "quasi-Hamiltonian reduction" is introduced, which allows known symplectic structures to be glued along "group-valued moment maps". This account was quite influential, and various later works, e.g. [Boa4, Boa5, LS, BK, BY, Mei] proceed by adding new elements to the library of known structures which admit group valued moment maps. In [Saf1, Saf2], some constructions of these works are put in the context of shifted symplectic geometry: the group valued moment map is re-interpreted as a derived Lagrangian morphism.

By contrast with [AMM], the construction in [PTVV] of the symplectic structure on the moduli space of local systems is even more local: rather than cut a surface up into finitely many manageable pieces, understand each, and then reglue, they proceed by constructing something at each point of the manifold and then integrating. It is this approach we have taken here, which may explain why the constructions of the present account are in some sense simpler than those of preceeding works. This rests necessarily on the foundations of [PTVV], and the view of mirror symmetry as the combinatorics of skeleta advocated in [Kon, N2, N3], and specifically on the observations e.g. in [STZ, STWZ, STW, ENS2] that the particular relevant spaces of interest can be constructed by such combinatorics. Such observations are of course contiguous with previous observations that various spaces can be built from moduli constructions around various building blocks; we however have taken yet more primitive building blocks, which moreover are sufficiently versatile to construct any moduli space that arises from the Fukaya category of a Weinstein manifold.

Throughout we will work with coefficients in a field k.

Acknowledgements. We thank Dori Bejleri, Ilyas Bayramov, David Nadler, Tony Pantev, John Pardon, Ryan Thorngren, Bertrand Toën and Gjergji Zaimi for helpful conversations. This project was supported in part by NSF DMS-1406871.

2. Arboreal singularities

Arboreal singularities were introduced by Nadler [N3, N4], with the goal of providing combinatorial models for microlocal sheaf categories. Each arboreal singularity corresponds to a tree (nonempty, finite, connected and acyclic graph) and one can construct an explicit local model for the singularity, realizing it as a singular Legendrian. In this section we recall definitions and results of [N3].

2.1. **Trees and correspondences.** A tree is a connected acyclic graph. A correspondence of trees $\mathfrak{p}$ is a diagram

$$R \overset{q}{\twoheadleftarrow} P \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} T$$

where R, P, T are trees, and the maps q, i are respectively surjective and injective maps of graphs. An isomorphism of correspondences $R \overset{q}{\twoheadleftarrow} P \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} T$ and $R' \overset{q'}{\twoheadleftarrow} P' \overset{i'}{\hookrightarrow} T'$ is the data of isomorphisms $R \cong R', P \cong P', T \cong T'$ which intertwine the maps.

Given trees and maps $Q \hookrightarrow R \twoheadleftarrow S$, the fiber product graph $Q \times_R S$ is the subtree of S whose vertices map to the image of Q. Thus correspondences can be composed:

$$(P \twoheadleftarrow Q \hookrightarrow R) \circ (R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T) = (P \twoheadleftarrow Q \times_R S \hookrightarrow T)$$

Definition 8. The category Arb has correspondences of trees as its objects. The Hom sets are

$$Hom(\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{p}) := \{\mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \circ \mathfrak{p}'\}/isomorphism$$

Composition is given by composition of correspondences, which makes sense since given $\mathfrak{q} \in Hom(\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{p})$ and $\mathfrak{q}' \in Hom(\mathfrak{p}'', \mathfrak{p}')$, we have $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \circ \mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{q} \circ \mathfrak{q}' \circ \mathfrak{p}''$.

Lemma 9. *Arb is a poset – i.e., for any correspondences $\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{p}$ there is, up to isomorphism, at most one $\mathfrak{q}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q} \circ \mathfrak{p}'$.*

Proof. Suppose $(P \twoheadleftarrow Q \hookrightarrow R) \circ (R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T) = (P \twoheadleftarrow N \hookrightarrow T)$. We want to reconstruct $(P \twoheadleftarrow Q \hookrightarrow R)$ from just $(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$ and $(P \twoheadleftarrow N \hookrightarrow T)$.

The map $N = Q \times_R S \to S$ determined by taking the (necessarily unique) factorization of $N \hookrightarrow T$ as $N \hookrightarrow S \hookrightarrow T$. From this we can characterize Q as the image of N under the map $S \twoheadrightarrow R$. The map $Q \twoheadrightarrow P$ is determined by the (necessarily unique) factorization of $N \twoheadrightarrow P$ into $N \twoheadrightarrow Q \twoheadrightarrow P$. □

Definition 10. Let T be a tree. We write Arb_T for the subcategory of Arb of correspondences $R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T$, or equivalently, for the subcategory of objects admitting a map from $\mathfrak{p}_T = (T \twoheadleftarrow T \hookrightarrow T)$.

2.2. **Quiver representations.** We recall some relevant facts about quiver representation theory, and set notation. These facts can be found in many sources, e.g. [Hap, Par].

Let $\vec{T}$ be a quiver, i.e., a directed graph. We write $k[\vec{T}]$ for the path algebra of the quiver, by which we mean the algebra whose generators are the vertices and arrows, subject to the relations

that the vertex generators are idempotent, and $ab = 0$ unless the head of a is the tail of b (and the head and tail of a vertex are itself). That is, we read paths **from left to right** and consequently quiver representations correspond to **right modules** over this algebra. We write $mod - k[\vec{T}]$ for the abelian category of right modules. We write $dgmod - k[\vec{T}]$, or just $Rep(\vec{T})$, for the quotient of the dg category of finite-dimensional complexes of $mod - k[\vec{T}]$ by the acyclic complexes, i.e., the "dg derived category". This is the category that appears in Nadler's discussion of arboreal singularities [N3, Sec. 4] and as an example in [TVa, Def. 3.33], where it is shown that the moduli of objects in $Rep(\vec{T})$ is a locally geometric and locally finite derived stack.

Example. For the quiver $1 \to 2 \to 3 \to \cdots \to n$, the path algebra can be identified with an algebra of triangular $n \times n$ matrices. The matrix $|i\rangle\langle j|$ corresponds to the unique path from the i'th vertex to the j'th vertex. The composition $|i\rangle\langle j||j\rangle\langle k| = |i\rangle\langle k|$ corresponds to the left-to-right composition rule $(i \to j)(j \to k) = (i \to k)$.

Our quivers will all have underlying graph a tree, we henceforth restrict to this case. For vertices $\alpha, \beta \in \vec{T}$, we write $\alpha \geq \beta$ when there is a path **from** α **to** β, and we denote this unique path by $|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|$. These compose in the usual way, $|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta||\beta\rangle\langle\gamma| = |\alpha\rangle\langle\gamma|$, and all other compositions vanish. We are particularly interested in the case when the edge directions arise from the choice of a fixed root vertex of T, by directing all the edges **toward** the root. We pronounce $\alpha \geq \beta$ as "alpha is above beta" or "beta is below alpha", so that everything is above the root.

We write $P_\alpha := |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|k[\vec{T}]$ for the right module of "paths from α"; since $\vec{T}$ is a tree, it is the representation which assigns k to each vertex admitting a path **from** α (i.e., each vertex below α in the notation above), and all morphisms isomorphisms. All paths must come from somewhere, so there is an internal direct sum splitting $k[\vec{T}] = \bigoplus_\alpha |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|k[\vec{T}]$ as right $k[\vec{T}]$-modules. The modules P_α are in fact the indecomposable projectives of the category $mod - k[\vec{T}]$, and the category $dgmod - k[\vec{T}]$ is their triangulated hull.

When $\alpha \geq \beta$, i.e., there is a path $|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|$, then composition with this path gives a morphism

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta\rangle\langle\beta|k[\vec{T}] &\to |\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|k[\vec{T}] \\ x &\mapsto |\alpha\rangle\langle\beta|x \end{aligned}$$

In fact, up to scalars this is the only morphism in $mod - k[\vec{T}]$; since these modules are projective, this remains true in $dgmod - k[\vec{T}]$.

Quivers corresponding to the same underlying tree but different arrow orientations have representation categories related by reflection functors, defined in [BGP]. A source (sink) is a vertex that only has outgoing (ingoing) arrows. Given a source α, let $s_\alpha\vec{T}$ be the quiver obtained by reversing all the arrows at α. There is a reflection functor $R^+_\alpha : k[\vec{T}] - mod \to k[s_\alpha\vec{T}] - mod$, which induces an equivalence of derived categories $Rep(\vec{T}) \to Rep(s_\alpha\vec{T})$. Likewise, at sinks there are similar reflection functors R^-_α.

The quiver structure for a rooted tree has all arrows pointing to the root. Because the underlying graph is acyclic, two such structures corresponding to different roots ρ_1, ρ_2 can be related by a

sequence of moves s_α. Thus the derived category $Rep(\vec{T})$ depends only on the underlying tree (up to non-canonical equivalence). Choosing a root determines a t-structure, and determines the distinguished set of projective generators $\{P_\alpha\}$.

2.3. **Correspondence functors.** Given a root of T, a correspondence $R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T$ induces root vertices, hence arrow orientations, of S and R – the root of S is the closest vertex in S to the root of T, and the root of R is the image of the root of S. We can identify $k[\vec{S}]$ with the quotient of $k[\vec{T}]$ by the two-sided ideal generated by all paths that are not contained in $\vec{S}$. This endows $k[\vec{S}]$ with the structure of a $(k[\vec{T}], k[\vec{S}])$-bimodule, which determines a functor $Rep(\vec{T}) \to Rep(\vec{S})$.

On the other hand, given a quotient $S \overset{q}{\twoheadrightarrow} R$ we can construct the following morphism of k-algebras $k[\vec{R}] \to k[\vec{S}]$. For simplicity, assume the quotient corresponds to collapsing one connected subtree $Q \subset S$; the general case can be deduced by iterated quotients like these. Let ρ be the root in the induced quiver structure on Q, i.e. the lowest vertex in Q. The quotient identifies $q(\alpha) = q(\rho)$ for all $\alpha \in Q$. Consider the function $s : V(R) \to V(S)$ between the sets of vertices given by

$$s(\beta) = \begin{cases} q^{-1}(\beta) & \beta \notin Q \\ \rho & \beta \in Q \end{cases}$$

This is a one-sided inverse to q, since $q \circ s = id$ on $V(R)$. This determines a morphism $k[\vec{R}] \to k[\vec{S}]$, which acts on paths as

$$|\alpha\rangle\langle\beta| \mapsto |s(\alpha)\rangle\langle s(\beta)|$$

That is, this sends a path in $\vec{R}$ to the shortest path in $\vec{S}$ whose start and end-points map to the original start and end-points in $\vec{R}$. We can check that this commutes with compositions, defining a map of algebras and determining a functor $Rep(\vec{S}) \to Rep(\vec{R})$

We get the functor $Rep(\vec{T}) \to Rep(\vec{R})$ which is just the composition of the two functors above. Now we can describe the behavior of this functor by analyzing its action on the generating projectives.

Lemma 11. *Let $c_{\mathfrak{p}} : Rep(\vec{T}) \to Rep(\vec{R})$ be the functor induced by a correspondence $\mathfrak{p} : R \overset{q}{\twoheadleftarrow} S \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} T$. Let $\alpha \in T$ be a vertex. Then*

$$c_{\mathfrak{p}}(P_\alpha) = \begin{cases} P_{q(i^{-1}(\alpha))} & \alpha \in i(S) \\ 0 & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The morphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{T}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}}(c_{\mathfrak{p}}(P_\alpha), c_{\mathfrak{p}}(P_\beta))$ sends $|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha| \to |q(i^{-1}(\beta))\rangle\langle q(i^{-1}(\alpha))|$ (and hence is an isomorphism) when these are defined; otherwise it is zero.

Proof. In general, if we are given a quiver $\vec{T}$ and a right $k[\vec{T}]$-module M, in order to identify which module we have it is sufficient to look at the k-vector spaces $M^{(\alpha)} = M|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$ for each vertex α, and whenever there is an arrow $\mu \to \alpha$, the map $M^{(\mu)} \to M^{(\alpha)}$ given by right multiplication by $|\mu\rangle\langle\alpha|$, since this data determines the module M.

Consider first the functor $Rep(\vec{T}) \to Rep(\vec{S})$. The image of $P(\alpha)$ is the $k[\vec{S}]$-module $P_\alpha \otimes_{k[\vec{T}]} k[\vec{S}]$. If α lies outside S, every in path P_α can be expressed as $|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|x$, which gets sent to zero the quotient to $k[\vec{S}]$. If $\alpha \in i(S)$, exactly the paths in P_α exiting $\vec{S}$ are sent to zero, and $P_\alpha \otimes_{k[\vec{T}]} k[\vec{S}]$ is spanned by all paths in $\vec{S}$ starting at $i^{-1}(\alpha)$ so this module is $P_{i^{-1}(\alpha)}$.

Now for the functor $Rep(\vec{S}) \to Rep(\vec{R})$, for some vertex β of S, let M be the image of P_β under this functor. Remembering that this functor is induced by a map of k-algebras $f : k[\vec{R}] \to k[\vec{S}]$, for any vertex λ of R we have an isomorphism of k-vector spaces

$$M^{(\lambda)} = M|\lambda\rangle\langle\lambda| \cong P_\beta f(|\lambda\rangle\langle\lambda|) = P_\beta|s(\lambda)\rangle\langle s(\lambda)|$$

which is k exactly when $s(\lambda) \leq \beta$ or equivalently $\lambda \leq q(\beta)$. The morphisms between the $M^{(\lambda)}$ are given by multiplication by $|\mu\rangle\langle\lambda|$; we need to check that these are isomorphisms whenever there is an arrow $\mu \to \lambda$ and $\mu \leq q(\beta)$. As maps of vector spaces,

$$M^{(\mu)} \xrightarrow{|\mu\rangle\langle\lambda|} M^{(\lambda)}$$

is the same as the map

$$P_\beta|s(\mu)\rangle\langle s(\mu)| \xrightarrow{|s(\mu)\rangle\langle s(\lambda)|} P_\beta|s(\lambda)\rangle\langle s(\lambda)|$$

which is an isomorphism since s respects the partial ordering $\leq$. This identifies the module M with the indecomposable projective $P_{q(\beta)}$ in $Rep(\vec{R})$.

Putting the two functors together we get the first half of the result. For the morphisms, the nontrivial case to check is when $\alpha \leq \beta$ and $\alpha, \beta \in i(S)$. At the level of paths, the map $|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha| \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{T}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta)$ is given by pre-concatenation with the path $|\beta\rangle\langle\alpha|$. After applying the functor $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ this becomes a map in $\mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}}(c_{\mathfrak{p}}(P_\alpha), c_{\mathfrak{p}}(P_\beta))$ given by concatenation with the path $|q(i^{-1}(\beta))\rangle\langle q(i^{-1}(\alpha))|$, which is nonzero since S is connected. □

Definition 12. Fix a rooted tree $\vec{T}$. We define a functor $Arb_T \to dgCat$ at the level of objects by

$$(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T) \mapsto Rep(\vec{R})$$

A morphism $(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T) \to (R' \twoheadleftarrow S' \hookrightarrow T)$ is by definition a correspondence $(R' \twoheadleftarrow S'' \hookrightarrow R)$; we send this to the functor $Rep(\vec{R}) \to Rep(\vec{R}')$ determined by this correspondence.

2.4. **Arboreal singularities.** Recall that the nerve of a category C is the simplicial complex whose vertices are the objects of C, morphisms are the edges, triangles are commuting triangles giving compositions, etc. When C is a poset, this is also called the order complex.

Definition 13. If T is a tree, we write $\mathbb{T}$ for the nerve of the category Arb_T. This is a stratified space, the *arboreal singularity* of T that will serve as our local model for locally arboreal spaces. We write $\mathbb{T}^{int}$ for the union of simplices containing $\mathfrak{p}_T$, and $\mathbb{T}^{\text{link}}$ for the complement of this union.

The space $\mathbb{T}$ is conical – the initial object $\mathfrak{p}_T \in Arb_T$ gives the cone point, and $\mathbb{T}^{\text{link}}$ gives the link.

Example. We write A_2 for the tree $\bullet - \bullet$. We label the vertices α and β. There are four correspondences: the trivial correspondence $\mathfrak{p}_0 = (\alpha - \beta) \twoheadleftarrow (\alpha - \beta) \hookrightarrow (\alpha - \beta)$, the correspondence $\bullet \twoheadleftarrow (\alpha - \beta) \hookrightarrow (\alpha - \beta)$, and two correspondences $\bullet \twoheadleftarrow \bullet \hookrightarrow (\alpha - \beta)$ for inclusions of α or β.

We abbreviate these by enclosing in parenthesis those vertices of A_2 which get identified in the quotient $R \twoheadleftarrow S$. So, for example, we will denote the three nontrivial correspondences by $\alpha, \beta, (\alpha\beta)$ and the trivial correspondence simply by $\alpha\beta$.

In the poset structure, the three nontrivial correspondences are incomparable, and the correspondence $\mathfrak{p}_0$ is smaller than all of them. Thus there are 7 strata in the order complex $\mathbb{A}_2$: the four 0-simplices $[\alpha\beta], [\alpha], [\beta], [(\alpha\beta)]$, and three 1-simplices $[\alpha\beta \to \alpha], [\alpha\beta \to \beta], [\alpha\beta \to (\alpha\beta)]$. This can be realized as the following stratified space of dimension one (Figure 1). Note that $\mathbb{A}_2$ is the disjoint union of 3 points, each labeled by a 0-simplex $[\mathfrak{q}] \neq [\mathfrak{p}_0]$



FIGURE 1. Arboreal singularity $\mathbb{A}_2$. For simplicity we use the notation described above for each correspondence

Example. We write A_3 for the tree $\bullet - \bullet - \bullet$, whose vertices we label $\alpha - \beta - \gamma$. There are eleven correspondences: the trivial one, four correspondences of the form $[\bullet \to \bullet] \twoheadleftarrow \dots$ and six of the form $[\bullet] \twoheadleftarrow \dots$. There are 45 strata in the order complex $\mathbb{A}_3$: 11 zero-dimensional, 22 one-dimensional and 12 two-dimensional strata, assembled as in Figure 2.

The link $\mathbb{A}_3^{link}$ can be glued out of copies of $\mathbb{A}_2$. Note also that the realization of this space admits a coarser stratification, with 5 zero-dimensional, 10 one-dimensional and 6 two-dimensional strata.

2.5. **A sheaf of categories.**

2.5.1. *Constructible sheaves on simplicial complexes.* We briefly recall how to describe constructible sheaves on a simplicial complex. For a simplex σ in a simplicial complex X, we write $Star(\sigma)$ for the union of open simplices whose closure contains σ. To give a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on X, constructible with respect to the stratification by simplices, it suffices to give the values of $\mathcal{F}$ on the open sets $Star(\sigma)$, and the corresponding restriction maps $\mathcal{F}(Star(\sigma)) \to \mathcal{F}(Star(\tau))$ when $Star(\tau) \subset Star(\sigma)$, i.e., when σ lies in the closure of τ. The appropriate diagrams should commute. Our definition of simplicial complex demands that the closure of an open simplex is a closed simplex, so there are no non-trivial overlaps, hence no descent conditions.

The restriction $\mathcal{F}(Star(\sigma)) \to \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ is then necessarily an isomorphism, so one could instead discuss "generization maps" $\mathcal{F}_\sigma \to \mathcal{F}_\tau$ when σ lies in the closure of τ; this is the so-called "exit



FIGURE 2. Arboreal singularity $\mathbb{A}_3$. For simplicity we label only the 0-simplices; the labels on all other simplices can be deduced from their vertices

path" description of a constructible sheaf. A similar description works for any sufficiently fine stratification.

2.5.2. *Functors give sheaves on the nerve.* Recall that a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{Y}$ determines a $\mathcal{Y}$-valued constructible sheaf $Nerve(\mathcal{F})$ on $Nerve(\mathcal{X})$ as follows. On objects, we set

$$Nerve(\mathcal{F})([x_1 \to x_2 \to \cdots \to x_n]) = \mathcal{F}(x_n)$$

and the generization maps are given by

$$Nerve(\mathcal{F})([x_m \to \cdots \to x_{m'}] \to [x_1 \to x_2 \to \ldots \to x_n]) = \mathcal{F}(x'_m \to x_n)$$

where the map $x'_m \to x_n$ comes from the fact that $x_m \to \cdots \to x_{m'}$ was a subsequence of $x_1 \to x_1 \to \ldots \to x_n$. The fact that these restriction maps satisfy the appropriate conditions to determine a sheaf is immediate from the fact that $\mathcal{F}$ is a functor. Note this sheaf is constructible on a much coarser stratification than the stratification by all simplices.

2.5.3. *Nadler's sheaf.*

Definition 14. Let $\vec{T}$ be a rooted tree. We write $\mathcal{N}$ for the sheaf of categories on $\mathbb{T} = Nerve(Arb_T)$ given by the nerve of the functor $Arb_T \to dgCat$ of Definition 12.

Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a correspondence $R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T$. We write $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$ for the union of all simplices $[\mathfrak{p}_1 \to \mathfrak{p}_2 \cdots \to \mathfrak{p}]$. Then $\mathbb{T} = \coprod \mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$, and, by definition, any sheaf associated to a functor from Arb_T is constant on the $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$. In fact, each $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$ is topologically an open cell of dimension $|T| - |R|$

[N3, Prop 2.14].[1] In particular, $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p}_T)$ is the unique zero dimensional cell. Moreover, $\overline{\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})} = \coprod_{\mathfrak{p}' \leq \mathfrak{p}} \mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p}')$ [N3, Prop. 2.18].


$\mathbb{A}_2(\alpha \twoheadleftarrow \alpha \hookrightarrow \alpha\beta)$
$\mathbb{A}_2(\beta \twoheadleftarrow \beta \hookrightarrow \alpha\beta)$
$\mathbb{A}_2((\alpha\beta) \twoheadleftarrow \alpha\beta \hookrightarrow \alpha\beta)$
$\mathbb{A}_2(\mathfrak{p}_0)$

FIGURE 3. Coarser stratification of the arboreal singularity $\mathbb{A}_2$, by the strata $\mathbb{A}_2(\mathfrak{p})$.

Now let $\mathcal{C}$ be a sheaf given as the nerve of a functor from Arb_T. Because $\mathcal{C}$ is constructible with respect to a stratification given by a union of cells which all adjoin $\mathfrak{p}_T$, the restriction map $\Gamma(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{C}_{\mathfrak{p}_T}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\Gamma(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{N}) \cong Rep(\vec{T})$. Given an object $X \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{N}) = Rep(\vec{T})$, the germ at a point in $\mathbb{T}(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$ is an element of the category $Rep(\vec{R})$. The desired object is produced by applying the correspondence functor $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ obtained from $(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$ to X.

2.5.4. *Hom sheaves.* The correspondence functors also give a coherent choice of maps between Hom spaces. Suppose we are given elements $n, n' \in \Gamma(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{N}) = Rep(\vec{T})$. Because $\mathcal{N}$ is a sheaf of k-linear categories on $\mathbb{T}$, we have a Hom sheaf of complexes of k-modules, $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(n, n')$, on $\mathbb{T}$. It is the nerve of the functor

$$(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T) \mapsto Hom_{\vec{R}}(c_{\mathfrak{p}}(n), c_{\mathfrak{p}}(n'))$$

By definition, the generization maps between the stalks of the Hom sheaf are the functors $c_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{T}}(n, n') \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}}(c_{\mathfrak{p}}(n), c_{\mathfrak{p}}(n'))$

We will need explicit descriptions of the Hom sheaves between the generating projectives P_α. Since we know what the functors $c_{\mathfrak{p}}$ do to the projective objects from Lemma 11, it is just a matter of assembling the sheaf of the morphisms between Hom spaces.

Definition 15. For α a vertex of T, we write

$$\mathbb{T}(\alpha) := \coprod_{\alpha \in S} \mathbb{T}(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$$

Remark. In [N3], Nadler gives an explicit construction of the arboreal singularities: for each vertex α of T, take a copy of $\mathbb{R}^{|T|-1}$ with coordinates $x^\gamma(\alpha), \gamma \neq \alpha$. The interior of $\mathbb{T}$ is recovered by gluing these spaces: for each edge $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in E(T)$, identify points with coordinates $x_\gamma(\alpha)$ and $x_\gamma(\beta)$ whenever $x_\beta(\alpha) = x_\alpha(\beta) \geq 0$ and $x_\gamma(\alpha) = x_\gamma(\beta)$ for $\gamma \neq \alpha, \beta$. Comparing this

[1] Nadler writes L_T for our $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathrm{L}_T(\mathfrak{p})$ for our $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$ in [N3]. Our notation is chosen to emphasize that no symplectic geometry or microlocal sheaf theory is directly needed to understand the essentially combinatorial definitions and proofs.

construction with the combinatorial definition [N3, Sec. 2] it is proven that the strata $\mathbb{T}(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$ sit in the closure of the Euclidean space corresponding to α exactly when $\alpha \in S$. Thus $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$ is homeomorphic to a closed ball of dimension $|T| - 1$.



FIGURE 4. Gluing of $\mathbb{A}_3$ from the discs $\mathbb{A}_3(\bullet)$.

The following calculations are new.

Proposition 16. *The sheaf* $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\alpha, P_\alpha)$ *is the constant rank one sheaf on* $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$.

Proof. Let us describe the functor on Arb_T whose nerve is the sheaf $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\alpha, P_\alpha)$. By Lemma 11, on objects this functor is:

$$(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T) \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}}(P_{q(i^{-1}(\alpha))}, P_{q(i^{-1}(\alpha))}) = \begin{cases} k, & \alpha \in i(S) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

These Hom spaces have the identity as a basis element, which must be preserved by the functorial structure, hence gives a global section trivializing the sheaf hom. □

To describe other Hom sheaves we have to worry about the orientation of the arrows in the quiver. More generally let

$$\mathbb{T}(\alpha, \beta) := \coprod_{\substack{\alpha,\beta \in S \\ q(i^{-1}(\alpha)) \leq q(i^{-1}(\beta))}} \mathbb{T}(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$$

Proposition 17. *The sheaf* $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta)$ *is the constant rank one sheaf on* $\mathbb{T}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. Again, let us write the functor on Arb giving rise to this sheaf. By Lemma 11, on objects it is

$$(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T) \mapsto \mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}}(P_{q(i^{-1}(\alpha))}, P_{q(i^{-1}(\beta))}) = \begin{cases} k, & \alpha, \beta \in S \ \& \ q(\alpha) \leq q(\beta) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Here, when $\alpha \notin S$, we interpret $P_{q(i^{-1}(\alpha))}$ as 0; and similarly for β. This shows that the sheaf has the correct stalks. Lemma 11 also described how the functor acts on the natural basis for these spaces, showing that the sheaf is locally constant and in fact giving a global section, showing it is constant.



FIGURE 5. The subsets $\mathbb{A}_3(\bullet,\bullet)$ where $\mathbb{A}_3$ has the quiver structure $\alpha \to \beta \leftarrow \gamma$. Note that the subsets $\mathbb{T}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ depend on the directions of the arrows in T, and moreover as in the proof above for any vertices λ_1,λ_2, the difference between $\mathbb{T}(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ and $\mathbb{T}(\lambda_1)\cap\mathbb{T}(\lambda_2)$ is at most deletion of some boundary strata.

Another way to see that to see the sheaf is constant is to explicitly describe the locus $\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\beta)$ and show it is contractible. First, note that $\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\beta)\subseteq\mathbb{T}(\alpha)\cap\mathbb{T}(\beta)$, with equality if $\alpha\leq\beta$. Since $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$ and $\mathbb{T}(\beta)$ are both homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{|T|-1}$ and are glued together along a halfspace, their intersection is homeomorphic to a closed ball. For the case where $\alpha\not\leq\beta$, the inclusion is strict, so suppose that we have a simplex

$$[\mathfrak{p}_1\to\cdots\to\mathfrak{p}_m]\subset(\mathbb{T}(\alpha)\cap\mathbb{T}(\beta))-\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\beta)$$

If we denote $\mathfrak{p}_m=(R_m\twoheadleftarrow S_m\hookrightarrow T)$, this is the same as having $\alpha,\beta\in S_m$ but $q(\alpha)\neq q(\alpha\vee\beta)$. Consider now the correspondence $\mathfrak{q}=(R\twoheadleftarrow Q\hookrightarrow R_m)$, where Q is some subtree of R_m containing $q(\alpha)$ but not containing $q(\beta)$. Then $\beta\notin Q\times_{R_m}S_m$, which means the simplex $[\mathfrak{p}_1\to\ldots\mathfrak{p}_m\to\mathfrak{p}_m\to\mathfrak{q}\circ\mathfrak{p}_m]$ is in $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$ but not in $\mathbb{T}(\beta)$, so this simplex is contained in the boundary of $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)\cap\mathbb{T}(\beta)$. Thus $\Lambda(\alpha,\beta)$ is obtained by deleting parts of the boundary of the closed ball $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)\cap\mathbb{T}(\beta)$, so it is contractible. □

3. ORIENTATIONS AND LOCAL ORIENTATIONS

We are interested in the moduli space of objects in the global sections of a constructible sheaf of dg categories locally modeled on pairs $(\mathbb{T},\mathcal{N})$. Without further restricting our moduli problem, this is naturally a geometric derived stack [TVa].[2] [PTVV] introduced the notion of shifted symplectic structures on such spaces, and showed that constructing such amounted essentially to constructing a shifted symplectic structure on such a moduli space amounts essentially to constructing an "orientation" on the corresponding dg category.

We will not here review the definitions of derived geometry (see e.g. the survey [Toë2]), as the reader will not need them to follow the arguments actually in this paper. Instead, we review here the relevant statements of [PTVV] and [Toë2] regarding orientations, introduce a more local version

[2]The relevant finiteness condition holds because global sections of our constructible sheaf is a finite limit of representations of finite algebras, as observed in [Kon].

of this notion in the context of constructible sheaves of categories, and then confine ourselves to the problem of constructing orientations thereafter.

3.1. **Orientations and shifted symplectic structures.** For a derived Artin stack X, an n-shifted symplectic form is a nondegenerate closed 2-form of degree n, i.e. an element of the complex $\Omega^{2,cl}(X)$ which induces a shifted isomorphism between cotangent and tangent complexes $\mathbb{T}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} L_X$. In particular, a 0-shifted symplectic structure on a smooth scheme is just a symplectic form in the usual sense.

Recall that Hochschild homology provides a "universal trace" of a dg category C. That is, there is a natural map $C(a,a) \to HH(C)$. The following result is the analogue of the classical fact that nondegenerate pairings on Ext^1 spaces give rise to symplectic structures on moduli of local systems on surfaces.

Hochschild homology naturally lives in the category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ of mixed complexes [Kel1], which is the derived dg category of modules over the dg algebra $\Lambda = k[B]/\langle B^2\rangle$, where B has degree -1 and is closed, $dB = 0$. That is, seen as complexes, besides the usual degree-increasing differential d, mixed complexes have a map B of degree -1. The mixed structure on the Hochschild complex comes from the fact that cyclic permutations on each degree give it the structure of a precyclic chain complex [Kel2]

Definition 18. For C a dg category, an orientation of C is a map in the derived category $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ of mixed complexes $HH(C) \to k[-d]$, where $k[-d]$ carries the trivial mixed structure. For $f : C \to C'$ a morphism of dg categories, a relative orientation is a map $HH(f) \to k[-d]$, where $HH(f)$ is the homotopy fiber of $HH(C) \to HH(C')$ in $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$, sitting in a distinguished triangle $HH(f) \to HH(C) \to HH(C')$

Proposition 19. [Toë3, p. 227] *Let C be a dg category, and $HH(C) \to k[-d]$ an orientation. Assume it is nondegenerate in the sense that the induced pairing*

$$C(a,b) \otimes C(b,a) \to C(a,a) \to HH(C) \to k[-d]$$

is perfect. Then the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_C$ carries a natural shifted symplectic structure of degree $2-d$.

Example. For instance, if $C = \mathbf{Perf}$, then $HH(C) = k$ and we take the orientation given by $k = k$. The universal trace pairing $Hom(a,b) \otimes Hom(b,a) \to k$ is just the usual trace pairing, and hence non-degenerate.

There's also a relative version of this statement, alluded to in [Toë3]. Let us denote by $HH_*(f)$ the underlying dg k-module of the homotopy fiber $HH(f)$, with a distinguished triangle in $\mathbf{Perf}$

$$HH_*(f) \to HH_*(C) \to HH_*(C')$$

For any objects a, b of C, there's a trace morphism $C(a,b) \otimes C(b,a) \to HH_*(C)$ and another morphism induced from the trace on C'

$$C(a,b) \otimes C'(f(b), f(a)) \to C'(f(a), f(b)) \otimes C'(f(a), f(b)) \to HH_*(C')$$

These two determine a morphism of distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C(a,b) \otimes Cone(f)(b,a)[-1] & \longrightarrow & C(a,b) \otimes C(b,a) & \longrightarrow & C(a,b) \otimes C'(f(b), f(a)) \\ \vdots & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle tr} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle tr} \\ HH_*(f) & \longrightarrow & HH_*(C) & \longrightarrow & HH_*(C') \end{array}$$

So the relative orientation $HH_*(f) \to k[-d]$ gives a pairing $C(a,b) \otimes Cone(f)(b,a)[-1] \to k[-d]$ and also an orientation of one degree lower $HH_*(C') \to k[-d+1]$

Proposition 20. [Toë3] *Let $f : C \to C'$ be a dg functor as above, and $HH(f) \to k[-d]$ a relative orientation. Assume this is nondegenerate, i.e., the induced pairing*

$$C(a,b) \otimes Cone(f)(b,a) \to k[-d]$$

is perfect and that the induced orientation $HH_*(C') \to k[-d+1]$ is nondegenerate. Then the map of moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_C \to \mathcal{M}_{C'}$ carries a Lagrangian structure.

3.2. **Local orientations.** Let X be a topological space and $\mathcal{C}$ a sheaf of dg categories over X. Hochschild homology is a covariant functor, so there is a corresponding presheaf (of complexes) $\mathcal{HH}^{pre}_*(\mathcal{C})$ given by $\mathcal{HH}^{pre}_*(\mathcal{C})(U) = HH_*(\mathcal{C}(U))$. This is *not* generally a sheaf; we write $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C})$ for its sheafification.

There is however a morphism of presheaves $\mathcal{HH}^{pre}_*(\mathcal{C}) \to \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C})$, and in particular a morphism

$$HH_*(\mathcal{C}(U)) \to \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}(U))$$

Example. Let loc_X be the constant sheaf of categories over X with fibre $\mathbf{Perf}(k)$. Then

$$\mathcal{HH}^{pre}_*(loc_X)(X) = HH_*(loc_X(X)) \cong C^*(LX)$$

but on the other hand one has $\mathcal{HH}_*(loc_X) = k_X$ and hence

$$\mathcal{HH}_*(loc_X)(X) = C^*(X)$$

The localization morphism $\mathcal{HH}^{pre}_*(loc_X)(X) \to \mathcal{HH}_*(loc_X)(X)$ is the pullback on cochains $C^*(LX) \to C^*(X)$ corresponding to the inclusion of constant loops.

Definition 21. Let X be a stratifiable space of pure dimension d, and let $\mathcal{C}$ be a sheaf of categories on X. A local orientation of $\mathcal{C}$ is a degree 0 morphism $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}) \to \omega_X[-d]$, where ω_X is the dualizing sheaf on X.

Remark. Recall that on an open set U, we have $H^*(U, \omega_X[-d]) = H_*(U, \partial U; k)$. If $x \in X$ is a point with a compact conical neighborhood $\overline{U}$, we have

$$\omega_X[-d]|_x = H_*(\overline{U}; \partial\overline{U}) \cong H_*(\overline{U}; \overline{U} \setminus x).$$

Thus a morphism $k_X \to \omega_X[-d]$ at stalks is an element of $H_d(\overline{U}; \overline{U} \setminus x)$, i.e. an orientation in the classical sense. In particular, when $\mathcal{C} = loc_X$, a local orientation on $\mathcal{C}$ is an orientation on X.

Let $pt : X \to point$. Then there is a canonical "integration" map $H_c^*(X, \omega_X) = pt_! pt^! k \to k$. We shift this map by the dimension d of X to get it as $H_c^*(X, \omega_X[-d]) \to k[-d]$.

Proposition 22. *Let $(X, \mathcal{C})$ as above, with X compact. Then composing the following morphisms gives an orientation on $\mathcal{C}(X)$:*

$$HH_*(\mathcal{C}(X)) \to H^*(X, \mathcal{HH}(\mathcal{C})) = H_c^*(X, \mathcal{HH}(\mathcal{C})) \to H_c^*(X, \omega_X[-d]) \to k[-d]$$

Let $(X, \mathcal{C})$ as above, equipped with a local orientation $\mathcal{HH}(\mathcal{C}) \to \omega_X[-d]$. For any $A, B \in \mathcal{C}(X)$, there are sheaves (of complexes) on X given by $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$. The trace morphism to Hochschild homology induces

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, A) \to \mathcal{HH}(\mathcal{C}) \to \omega_X[-d]$$

From the adjunction

$$\mathrm{Hom}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \otimes \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A), \omega_X[-d]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B), \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A), \omega_X[-d]))$$

we reinterpret the above local trace pairing as a map

$$\mathrm{tr} : \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A), \omega_X)[-d]$$

Note the RHS is a shift of the Verdier dual of the sheaf $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)$.

Definition 23. (Local nondegeneracy) A local orientation is nondegenerate if, on any open $U \subset X$ and two objects A, B of $C(U)$, the morphism of sheaves on U

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}(U)}(A, B) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_U(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}(U)}(B, A), \omega_U)[-d]$$

is an isomorphism.

Proposition 24. *Assume X is compact, and let $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}) \to \omega_X[-d]$ be a nondegenerate local orientation. Then the induced orientation $HH_*(\mathcal{C}(X)) \to k[-d]$ is nondegenerate.*

Proof. We take global sections on both sides and apply Verdier duality:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A), \omega_X)[-d] = H_c^*(X, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A))^\vee[-d] = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)^\vee[-d]$$

Note the last step used that X was compact. □

In the case where X is not compact, there's also a version of this proposition with relative orientations.

Proposition 25. *Assume $(X, \partial X)$ is a stratifiable space with a boundary, and let $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}) \to \omega_X[-d]$ be a nondegenerate local orientation. Then the local orientation induces a nondegenerate relative orientation $HH_*(\partial) \to k[-d]$, where $\partial : \mathcal{C}(X) \to \mathcal{C}(\partial X)$ is the functor given by restriction to the boundary.*

Proof. Again we take global sections and apply Verdier duality:

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A), \omega_X)[-d] = H^*_c(X, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A))^\vee[-d]$$

The isomorphism to relative cohomology and the fact that ∂X imply that this sits in a long exact sequence

$$H^*_c(X, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)) \to H^*(X, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)) \to H^*(\partial X, \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A)) \to \dots$$

so we get an identification

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} Cone(\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, A) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}(\partial X)}(B, A))[-1]$$

As for the orientation on the boundary, since a neighborhood of the boundary looks like $U = \partial X \times \mathbb{R}$, the dualizing sheaf of the boundary can be calculated as shifted sections of ω_X

$$\omega_{\partial X} \cong \omega_X|_U[1]$$

On the other hand, starting with the sheaf of categories $\mathcal{C}$, taking the Hochschild homology sheaf commutes with restriction to the boundary, so $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C})_U \cong \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}|_U)$. So the nondegenerate local orientation restricted to the boundary gives isomorphisms

$$\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{C}|_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_X|_U[-d] \cong \omega_{\partial X}[-d+1]$$

that is, a local orientation on ∂X of degree $d-1$. We need to check that this local orientation on the boundary is nondegenerate. This immediate, for any two objects A, B of $\mathcal{C}(U)$, by assumption the trace map induces an isomorphism

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}(U)}(A, B) \xrightarrow{\sim} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_U(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}(U)}(B, A), \omega_U)[-d] \cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_U(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}(U)}(B, A), \omega_{\partial X})[-d+1]$$

□

4. A LOCAL ORIENTATION ON AN ARBOREAL SINGULARITY

We will show that the spaces $(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{N})$ admit local orientations. More precisely, we will show that a rooting of T induces a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N}) \cong \omega_{\mathbb{T}}[1 - |T|]$, which is moreover nondegenerate.

4.1. **The dualizing complex of an arboreal singularity.** Verdier's dualizing complex on a space X is usually defined as $\omega_X = pt^! k$, where pt is the map to a point. As mentioned in [GM, p. 91], an explicit representative is given by the "sheaf of local singular chains". That is, let $\mathbf{C}^{-\mathbf{d}}$ be the sheaf which on sufficiently small open sets is given by $\mathbf{C}^{-d}(U) = C_d(X, X \setminus U; k)$, where C_d is the singular d-chains, and the sheaf structure is defined by the evident restriction maps. The singular chain differential collects these into a complex of sheaves, which is quasi-isomorphic to the dualizing complex.

There is a small subtlety one runs into when talking about the dualizing complex on an arboreal singularity as defined in Section 2, coming from the fact that our definition of $\mathbb{T}$ as the nerve of the category Arb_T comes with a boundary that's not present in Nadler's construction [N3]. This boundary $\partial\mathbb{T}$ is the union of all simplices $[\mathfrak{p}_1 \to \cdots \to \mathfrak{p}]$ that do not start at the trivial correspondence, that is $\mathfrak{p}_1 \neq \mathfrak{p}_0$. Therefore let's define the open arboreal singularity as a simplicial subcomplex

$$\mathbb{T}_\circ = \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}_1 \neq \mathfrak{p}_0} [\mathfrak{p}_1 \to \cdots \to \mathfrak{p}]$$

We can restrict the stratification of $\mathbb{T}$ given by the $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$ to $\mathbb{T}_\circ$; this stratification of $\mathbb{T}_\circ$ by open simplices $\mathbb{T}_\circ(\mathfrak{p})$ agrees exactly with the stratification originally presented in [N3]. Since each stratum is an open simplex, the neighborhoods of every point along each strata are all homeomorphic, so the dualizing complex of $\mathbb{T}_\circ$ is constructible with respect to this stratification We will only need to calculate the dualizing complex on the open arboreal singularity $\mathbb{T}_\circ$, and moreover $\mathbb{T}_\circ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$ is an open inclusion, so we can identify $\omega_{\mathbb{T}_\circ}$ with the restriction of $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$. To give a complete description of the dualizing complex, it suffices to identify each stalk $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}^{-(n-1)}(\mathbb{T}_\circ(\mathfrak{p}))$ over each stratum, together with the necessary generization maps.

Proposition 26. *With notation as above, the stalk of $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$ at a stratum labelled by a correspondence $\mathfrak{p} = (R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$ is concentrated in degree $-(n-1)$, where it is given by a direct sum decomposition*

$$\omega_{\mathbb{T}}^{-(n-1)}(\mathbb{T}_\circ(\mathfrak{p})) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} k_\alpha \cong k^{|R|}$$

where each $k_\alpha \cong k$. Now suppose we have correspondences $\mathfrak{p}' = \mathfrak{q} \circ \mathfrak{p}$, where $\mathfrak{p} = (R' \twoheadleftarrow S' \hookrightarrow T)$ and $\mathfrak{q} = (R' \overset{q}{\twoheadleftarrow} Q \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} R)$. Then the simplex $[\mathfrak{p}]$ is in the closure of $[\mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}']$ and the generization map $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})) \to \omega_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathfrak{p}')$ is given, in the decomposition above, by

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha \in R} k_\alpha \to \bigoplus_{\beta \in R'} k_\beta$$

where $1 \in k_\alpha$ gets sent to $1 \in k_{q(\alpha)}$ if $\alpha \in S$ and 0 otherwise. In other words, the map adds all the factors corresponding to vertices that get identified by the quotient q. Moreover, if one picks an orientation of a top stratum of $\mathbb{T}$, there is a canonical choice of isomorphisms above.

Proof. As mentioned above, on any space X, for sufficiently small U, there is a natural isomorphism

$$\omega_X(U) = C_*(X, X \setminus U)$$

Here, the chain complex C_* is interpreted as a cochain complex just by negating all the degrees.

Let's first calculate the stalk at the center of $\mathbb{T}$, that is at the simplex $[\mathfrak{p}_0]$ given by the trivial correspondence. Consider the decomposition of $\mathbb{T}$ into the discs $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$, and for each vertex α let $U_\alpha = U \cap \mathbb{T}(\alpha)$ and $Z_\alpha = \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \setminus U_\alpha$ where U is a small neighborhood of $[\mathfrak{p}_0]$. Each U_α is an open disc inside of the disc $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$, so the relative homology $H_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha)$ is k in degree $n-1$ and zero in other degrees.

For an edge $\alpha - \beta$, the Mayer Vietoris sequence for relative homology gives a distinguished triangle

$$C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\alpha \cap Z_\beta) \to C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha) \oplus C_*(\mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\beta) \to C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cup \mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\alpha \cup Z_\beta)$$

Note that since the discs $\mathbb{T}(\alpha), \mathbb{T}(\beta)$ are glued by their halves, the pair $(\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\alpha \cap Z_\beta)$ has zero relative homology

$$C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\alpha \cap Z_\beta) \cong C_*(\text{half-ball}, \text{half-sphere}) \cong 0$$

so we can identify

$$C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cup \mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\alpha \cup Z_\beta) \cong C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha) \oplus C_*(\mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\beta) \cong k^2[n-1]$$

We can iterate and glue the pairs $\mathbb{T}(\alpha), \mathbb{T}(\beta)$ according to the tree T. More specifically, take connected subtrees $Q \subset Q' \subseteq T$ such that $|Q'| = |Q| + 1$, that is the subtree Q' is obtained from Q by adding a new vertex γ of T with an edge $\beta - \gamma$ for some $\beta \in Q$. Now suppose by induction that we know a direct sum decomposition of the relative cohomology of the pair obtained by taking all $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$ and Z_α with $\alpha \in Q$:

$$C_*(\bigcup_{\alpha \in Q} \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_{\alpha \in Q} Z_\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha) \cong k^{|Q|}[n-1]$$

Note that since we glued γ only to one vertex β in Q, the intersection $(\cup_{\alpha \in Q} \mathbb{T}(\alpha)) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$ coincides with $\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$, and also $(\cup_{\alpha \in Q} Z_\alpha) \cap Z_\gamma = Z_\beta \cap Z_\gamma$. So as noted above this pair has vanishing cohomology, and the Mayer Vietoris distinguished triangle

$$C_*((\bigcup_{\alpha \in Q} \mathbb{T}(\alpha)) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma), (\bigcup_{\alpha \in Q} Z_\alpha) \cap Z_\gamma) \to C_*(\bigcup_{\alpha \in Q} \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_{\alpha \in Q} Z_\alpha) \oplus C_*(\mathbb{T}(\gamma), Z_\gamma) \to C_*(\bigcup_{\alpha \in Q'} \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_{\alpha \in Q'} Z_\alpha)$$

gives us a direct sum decomposition $C_*(\bigcup_{\alpha \in Q'} \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_{\alpha \in Q'} Z_\alpha) \cong k^{|Q'|}[n-1]$ Iterating this until we reach all of T gives the desired result.

To describe all the other stalks and generization maps, let's first describe the map

$$(\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_{[\mathfrak{p}_0]} \to (\omega_{\mathbb{T}})_{[\mathfrak{p}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}]}$$

from the central stalk calculated above to the stalk over a neighboring 1-simplex $[\mathfrak{p}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}]$ where

$$\mathfrak{p} = (R \xleftarrow{q} S \hookrightarrow T)$$



FIGURE 6. The pairs $(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha)$ appearing in the Mayer-Vietoris decomposition. The complements $Z_\alpha = \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \setminus U_\alpha$ are in dark gray. The intersection pairs $(\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\alpha \cap Z_\beta)$ have vanishing relative homology.

with q the contraction of a single edge $\mu - \lambda$. As above, let U be a neighborhood of the origin, and take a point in the simplex $[\mathfrak{p}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}]$ inside of U_0. Taking a neighborhood $V \subset U_0$ of this point, we see that the map between the two stalks of $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}$ is given by restriction of relative chains.

We use the same decomposition of $\mathbb{T}$ into discs that we have above. Let $V_\alpha = V \cap \mathbb{T}(\alpha)$ and $Y_\alpha = \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \setminus V_\alpha$. We see that $V_\alpha = \emptyset$ if $\alpha \notin S$, and if $\alpha \in S$ then the pair $(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), V_\alpha)$ can be homotoped to $(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), U_\alpha)$. Moreover, if we consider an edge that's not being contracted, say $\alpha - \beta \neq \mu - \lambda$, V_α and V_β intersect in an open half-ball inside the closed half-ball $\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta)$, so the pair $(\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta), Y_\alpha \cap Y_\beta)$ is homotopic to $(\mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta), Z_\alpha \cap Z_\beta)$ and has vanishing relative homology.

A new feature only occurs for the edge $\mu - \lambda$ being contracted: every stratum adjoining the 1-simplex $[\mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}_0]$ must be labelled by correspondences where this edge is also contracted. So the neighborhood V is entirely contained in the intersection $\mathbb{T}(\mu) \cap \mathbb{T}(\lambda)$, and so the pair $(\mathbb{T}(\mu) \cap \mathbb{T}(\lambda), Y_\mu \cap Y_\lambda)$ is homotopic to $(\mathbb{T}(\mu), Y_\mu)$ and has relative homology $H_*(\mathbb{T}(\mu) \cap \mathbb{T}(\lambda), Y_\mu \cap Y_\lambda) \cong k[n-1]$.

Now we can apply the same process as above and iterate over all edges of the original tree T. Suppose we start with a subtree Q of T such that $Q \subset R, Q \subset S$, meaning no edge of Q gets contracted by q, and suppose by induction that we already know a direct sum decomposition

$$C_*(\bigcup_Q \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_Q Y_\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_Q C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Y_\alpha) \cong k^{|Q|}[n-1]$$

Consider the Mayer-Vietoris triangle for adjoining an extra vertex γ via an edge $\beta - \gamma$ as above. The relative chain restriction maps give a map between the distinguished triangles

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C_*(\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma), Z_\beta \cap Z_\gamma) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha) \oplus C_*(\mathbb{T}(\gamma), Z_\gamma) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q'} C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_*(\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma), Y_\beta \cap Y_\gamma) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha \in Q} C_*(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Y_\alpha) \oplus C_*(\mathbb{T}(\gamma), Y_\gamma) & \longrightarrow & C_*(\bigcup_{Q'} \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_{Q'} Y_\alpha) \end{array}$$

Since this is a map of distinguished triangles it suffices to know two of the vertical maps. There are three cases to be checked. Suppose $\gamma \in S$ but $\beta - \gamma \neq \mu - \lambda$: the two terms on the left vanish, and the remaining maps are all isomorphisms. Now suppose $\gamma \notin S$: the two terms on the left vanish, but then since $V_\gamma = \emptyset$ the vertical map $C_*(\mathbb{T}(\gamma), Z_\gamma) \to C_*(\mathbb{T}(\gamma), Y_\gamma)$ is zero so the direct sum

factor $C_*(\mathbb{T}(\gamma), Z_\gamma)$ of $C_*(\cup_{Q'}\mathbb{T}(\alpha), \cup_{Q'} Z_\alpha)$ gets killed by the restriction map. The last case to consider is when the vertex being added is λ via the contracted edge $\mu - \lambda$. Then the intersection $(\mathbb{T}(\mu) \cap \mathbb{T}(\lambda), Y_\mu \cap Y_\lambda)$ has relative homology k in degree $n-1$ so the map above in degree $n-1$ becomes

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha\in Q} C_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha) \oplus C_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\lambda), Z_\lambda) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha\in Q'} C_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ k & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\alpha\in Q} C_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Y_\alpha) \oplus C_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\lambda), Y_\lambda) & \longrightarrow & C_{n-1}(\bigcup_{Q'} \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_{Q'} Y_\alpha) \end{array}$$

Where the middle vertical map $C_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\lambda), Z_\lambda) \to C_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\lambda), Y_\lambda)$ is restriction of relative chains, so it is an isomorphism $k \xrightarrow{\sim} k$. Thus $C_{n-1}(\bigcup_{Q'} \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_{Q'} Y_\alpha) \cong k^{|Q|}$, and does *not* gain an extra direct sum factor k from $C_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\lambda), Y_\lambda)$. A better way of phrasing this is that we have a decomposition

$$C_*(\bigcup_{Q'} \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \bigcup_{Q'} Y_\alpha) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha\in q(Q')} k_\alpha$$

one factor $k_\alpha \cong k$ for each vertex α in the image $q(Q') \subset R$, since μ and λ contribute only one factor $k_{(\mu\lambda)}$ of k. Using this decomposition, we can write down the vertical restriction map on the right as:

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha\in Q'} k_\alpha \to \bigoplus_{\alpha\in q(Q')} k_\alpha$$

which is an isomorphism on all factors except for $k_\mu \oplus k_\lambda \to k_{\mu\lambda}$ where it is *addition* of cochains.

We can calculate all the other stalks and maps by iterating this procedure, since every correspondence of trees can be decomposed into successive contraction of a single edge; this gives the calculation in the theorem. Note that in order to describe the maps as addition, we implicitly used the fact that we have a distinguished basis element $1 \in H_{n-1}(\mathbb{T}(\alpha), Z_\alpha)$. Picking this element uniquely requires picking a orientation of each disc $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$; in fact since all discs are glued it is only necessary to pick an orientation of one of the discs, or equivalently an orientation of a top-dimensional stratum of $\mathbb{T}$. □

4.2. **Hochschild homology.** Recall that the Hochschild homology of an algebra A is calculated by the Hochschild chain complex

$$C_*(A) : \cdots \to A \otimes A \otimes A \to A \otimes A \to A$$

with the differential $d_n : C_n(A) \to C_{n-1}(A)$ given by

$$d(x_n \otimes \cdots \otimes x_0) = x_{n-1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_0 x_n + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^i x_n \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i+1} x_i \otimes \cdots \otimes x_0$$

We are interested in the stalks of the sheafified Hochschild homology, which are the Hochschild homology of the stalks of $\mathcal{N}$, i.e., of the categories $Rep(\vec{T})$. To calculate these, recall that Hochschild homology is invariant under dg Morita equivalences, and for any dg-algebra $\mathcal{O}$, there

is a quasi-isomorphism of Hochschild complexes $HH_*(\mathcal{O}) \cong HH_*(D_{\mathrm{dg}}(\mathcal{O}))$ with the Hochschild homology of the dg derived category of $\mathcal{O}$-modules [Kel1].

Thus we need only calculate $HH_*(k[\vec{T}])$. But, according to a well known result:

Proposition 27. [Cib] *Let $\vec{Q}$ be an acyclic quiver. Then $HH_0(k[\vec{Q}]) = k^{|Q|}$ and all higher Hochschild homologies vanish.*

Proof. For convenience of the reader, we indicate the proof. Since $\vec{Q}$ is a tree, $k[\vec{Q}]$ has a basis whose elements are the paths from vertex α to vertex β. These include the idempotents $|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$. Consider the subspace Δ_n of $k[\vec{Q}]^{\otimes n}$ spanned by the powers of the idempotents $|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|^{\otimes n}$, and its complement L_n spanned by all other tensor products of paths.

The Hochschild chain complex then splits as $C_*(k[\vec{Q}]) = \Delta_* \oplus L_*$. The complex L_* is acyclic, ultimately because $\vec{Q}$ has no cycles. The diagonal subcomplex Δ_* is $|Q|$ copies of the Hochschild chain complex for the base field k. □

There is a natural basis on $HH_0(Rep(\vec{Q})) = HH_0(k[\vec{Q}]) \cong k^{|Q|}$, given by the images of the idempotents $|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \in k[\vec{Q}]$, or equivalently, of the modules $P_\alpha \in Rep(\vec{Q})$. Note that this basis depends on $\vec{Q}$ and not just the underlying graph. In terms of these bases, Lemma 11 gives the generization functors of the Hochschild homology sheaf.

Proposition 28. *Let $\mathfrak{p} = (R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$ be a correspondence inducing a functor $Rep(\vec{T}) \to Rep(\vec{R})$. The induced map between Hochschild homologies is given by*

$$|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha| \mapsto \begin{cases} |q(i^{-1}(\alpha))\rangle\langle q(i^{-1}(\alpha))| & \text{if } \alpha \in i(S) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

4.3. **Comparison.** Comparing Proposition 26 with Propositions 27 and 28 gives an abstract isomorphism $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N}) \cong \omega_{\mathbb{T}}[1-n]$.

Remark. Again, technically this is an isomorphism of sheaves only on the open arboreal singularity $\mathbb{T}_\circ$, but this difference will be of no effect to our calculations.

The choice of this isomorphism is not unique, but as we saw above, upon fixing the decomposition of $\mathbb{T}$ as the union of discs $\mathbb{T}(\alpha)$ and an orientation of one of these discs, we get distinguished bases for the stalks of $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}[1-n]$. In addition if we pick a root in T this induces choices of roots in all R, and we get sets of distinguished elements $|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$ in all the stalks of $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N})$. We can then make a *canonical* choice of isomorphism $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{\mathbb{T}}[1-n]$, which on a stalk over the stratum $\mathbb{T}(R \twoheadleftarrow S \hookrightarrow T)$ gives the isomorphism

$$HH_0(k[\vec{R}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in V(R)} k_\alpha$$

sending $|\alpha\rangle\langle\alpha|$ to $1 \in k_\alpha \cong k$ in the direct sum decomposition of proposition 26.

4.4. **Mixed structure on the Hochschild complex.** The Hochschild complex of a k-algebra A is also endowed with the structure of a mixed complex [Kel1, p. 178], and in order to use the results we need from derived algebraic geometry in the next section it will be necessary to know this mixed structure. Fortunately, in our case the mixed structure is trivial.

A mixed complex (M, b, B) is a complex of non-negatively graded k-modules M_n with a degree -1 endomorphism b and a degree $+1$ endomorphism B, such that $b^2 = B^2 = bB + Bb = 0$. This can be interpreted as a dg module over the dg algebra Λ with one generator in degree 1 and zero differential. All cyclic homology invariants of M depend only on M inside $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$.

For a k-algebra A, the Hochschild chain complex $C_*(A)$ comes with a cyclic permutation map $t_n(x_n \otimes \cdots \otimes x_0) = (-1)^n x_0 \otimes x_n \otimes \cdots \otimes x_1$, making it into a precyclic chain complex. Following [Kel2, Sec. 2] we can functorially associate a mixed complex (M, b, B) to a precyclic chain complex C_*, with underlying modules $M_n = C_n \oplus C_{n-1}$. When applied to $C_*(A)$, this mixed complex calculates Hochschild and cyclic homology and gives rise to Connes' long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to HH_n(A) \to HC_n(A) \to HC_{n-2}(A) \to HH_{n-1} \to \cdots$$

and a morphism of such mixed complexes is a quasi-isomorphism if it induces an isomorphism of the long exact sequences. Applying this to the path algebra kQ we have a mixed complex version of the proposition above:

Proposition 29. *The mixed complex (M, b, B) associated to the Hochschild complex $C_*(kQ)$ of an acyclic quiver path algebra is quasi-isomorphic to the mixed complex $(k^n, 0, 0)$ concentrated in degree zero and with zero differentials.*

Proof. Note that both the differential d and the cyclic permutation map t_n respect the decomposition $C_*(kQ) = \Delta_* \oplus L_*$ above. Thus the construction of the associated mixed complex M also respects this decomposition

$$(M, b, B) = (M_\Delta, b, B) \oplus (M_L, b, B)$$

Now define a map $f : (M, b, B) \to (k^n, 0, 0)$ which is zero everywhere except in degree zero, where it is given by projection to the first factor

$$M_0 = C_0(kQ) \to M_\Delta = \Delta_0 \cong k^n$$

This induces a isomorphism in Hochschild homology by the argument above, but by [Lod, 2.5.15] this happens if and only if it also induces an isomorphism in cyclic homology, so f is a quasi-isomorphism of mixed complexes. □

Since this mixed structure is trivial ($B = 0$), we will just identify the associated mixed complex with the Hochschild homology complex and denote both $HH(kQ)$. Moreover, this also means that when taking cones and fibers in the derived category of mixed complexes $\mathcal{D}(\Lambda)$ we will only obtain objects with trivial mixed structure, so in the following sections we will not have to worry about the mixed structure.

4.5. **Nondegeneracy.** Recall we have constructed an isomorphism $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{\mathbb{T}}[-d]$.

Theorem 30. *This local orientation is nondegenerate.*

Proof. We are trying to show that, for any objects A, B of $\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{T})$, the map

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(A,B) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(B,A), \omega_X)[-d]$$

induced by the orientation is an isomorphism. Since both sides give triangulated bi-functors $\mathcal{N}(U) \times \mathcal{N}(U) \to Sh(U)$, it is enough to check the assertion on generators of the category. We use the projective objects P_α as generators.

As calculated in Proposition 17, the sheaf $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta)$ is the constant sheaf on $\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\beta)$. Let us check that the sheaves $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta)$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha), \omega_{\mathbb{T}})[-d]$ are isomorphic by analyzing the topology of $\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\beta)$ and $\mathbb{T}(\beta,\alpha)$.

Let $\gamma = \alpha \vee \beta$ be the minimum point in the geodesic between α and β, so $\mathbb{T}(\beta,\alpha) = \mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma) \cap \mathbb{T}(\alpha)$. We will describe the topology of the subset $\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)$ for $\gamma \leq \beta$. The space $\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)$ is a subset of the closed discs $\mathbb{T}(\beta)$ and $\mathbb{T}(\gamma)$, and only differs from the intersection on its boundary. In fact we have

$$(\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)) \setminus \mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma) = \coprod_{\substack{\beta,\gamma \in S \\ q(\beta) \neq q(\gamma)}} \mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$$

If $q(\beta) \neq q(\gamma)$ then there is a correspondence $\mathfrak{q}$ that deletes $q(\beta)$ and keeps $q(\gamma)$, i.e. there's a map of the form $[\mathfrak{p}_1 \to \cdots \to \mathfrak{p}] \to [\mathfrak{p}_1 \to \cdots \to \mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{q} \circ \mathfrak{p}]$. This last simplex is not in $\mathbb{T}(\beta)$, so $[\mathfrak{p}_1 \to \cdots \to \mathfrak{p}]$ must be on the boundary of $\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$. Conversely, this map only can exist if $q(\beta) \neq q(\gamma)$ in the correspondence $\mathfrak{p}$, so $(\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)) \setminus \mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)$ is exactly the boundary of $\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$ inside of $\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cup \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$. Thus

$$\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\beta) \cup \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$$

is an open inclusion, with closure $\mathbb{T}(\gamma,\beta) = \mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$. Obviously when $\beta = \gamma$ all these are the same.

For any vertices μ, λ, let's denote the open inclusion

$$i_{\mu\lambda} : \mathbb{T}(\mu,\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\mu) \cup \mathbb{T}(\lambda)$$

and the closed inclusions

$$j_{\mu\lambda} : \mathbb{T}(\mu) \cup \mathbb{T}(\lambda) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$$

$$j_\mu : \mathbb{T}(\mu) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}$$

The sheaf $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta)$ is supported on the subset $\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\gamma) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta)$, so it can be expressed in terms of the constant sheaf on $\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\gamma)$ by

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta) = j_{\beta,*} j_\beta^* j_{\alpha\gamma,!} i_{\alpha\gamma,!} \underline{k}_{\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\gamma)}$$

Similarly we have $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha) = j_{\alpha,*} j_\alpha^* j_{\beta\gamma,!} i_{\beta\gamma,!} \underline{k}_{\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)}$

Let's denote by $D_X : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_X(\mathcal{F}, \omega_X)$ the Verdier duality operation. As preparation for the proof of nondegeneracy, let's first check if there is at least an isomorphism between

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha), \omega_{\mathbb{T}})[-d] = D_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha))[-d]$$

Verdier duality intertwines the pairs of functors f_*, $f_!$ and f^*, $f^!$, so

$$D_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha))[-d] \cong D_{\mathbb{T}} j_{\alpha,*} j_\alpha^* j_{\beta\gamma,!} i_{\beta\gamma,!} \underline{k}_{\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)}[-d] \cong j_{\alpha,!} j_\alpha^! j_{\beta\gamma,*} i_{\beta\gamma,*} D_{\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)} \underline{k}_{\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)}[-d]$$

Since $\mathbb{T}(\beta, \gamma)$ is homeomorphic to an open ball (it is the intersection of two discs with the boundary removed), $D_{\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)} \underline{k}_{\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)} \cong k_{\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)}[d]$. Also, by proper base change, $j_\alpha^! j_{\beta\gamma,*} = j_{1,*} j_2^!$, where j_1, j_2 are the closed inclusions

$$j_1 : \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\alpha), \qquad j_2 : \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$$

But since $i_{\beta\gamma}$ is the inclusion of $\mathbb{T}(\beta, \gamma)$ into its closure $\mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$, the sheaf $j_2^! i_{\beta\gamma,*} k_{\mathbb{T}(\beta,\gamma)}$ is isomorphic to $j_3^* i_{\alpha\gamma,!} \underline{k}_{\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\gamma)}$, for $j_3 : \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma)$ so we have

$$D_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha))[-d] \cong j_{\alpha,!} j_{1,*} j_3^* i_{\alpha\gamma,!} \underline{k}_{\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\gamma)}$$

But denoting $j_4 : \mathbb{T}(\alpha) \cap \mathbb{T}(\beta) \cap \mathbb{T}(\gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{T}(\beta)$ we have $j_{\alpha,!} j_{1,*} \cong j_{\beta,*} j_{4,!}$ and then performing another base change we get

$$D_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha))[-d] \cong j_{\beta,*} j_\beta^* j_{\alpha\gamma,!} i_{\alpha\gamma,!} \underline{k}_{\mathbb{T}(\alpha,\gamma)}[-d] = \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{C}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta)$$

Verdier duality thus induces an isomorphism between these sheaves. It still remains to check that the local orientation we defined indeed induces this isomorphism.

Since we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N}) \cong \omega_{\mathbb{T}}[-d]$, this gives an identification

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha), \omega_{\mathbb{T}})[-d] \cong \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}((P_\beta, P_\alpha), \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N}))$$

We have to prove that the sheaf morphism given by the trace

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta) \to \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha), \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N}))$$

is an isomorphism, which can be checked on stalks. We will perform the calculation at the origin, so we will need a description of the sections of the sheaf Hom on a neighborhood around the origin:

$$\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathbb{T}}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha), \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N}))(U) = \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha)|_U, \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N})|_U)$$

consisting of compatible collections of morphisms between $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha)$ and $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N})$ on all the strata. For every stratum $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$, we have a map

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha)|_U, \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N})|_U) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha)_{\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})}, HH_*(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p}))))$$

and a collection of such morphisms is a morphism of sheaves iff the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha)_{\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})} & \longrightarrow & HH_*(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p}))) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha)_{\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p}')} & \longrightarrow & HH_*(\mathcal{N}(\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p}'))) \end{array}$$

commutes whenever $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p})$ is in the closure of $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p}')$, i.e. whenever there's a map of correspondences $\mathfrak{p} \to \mathfrak{p}'$.

Let $\mathfrak{p}_{\max} = (R_{\max} \overset{q}{\twoheadleftarrow} T \overset{\sim}{\to} T)$ be the "maximal" correspondence defined by contracting the geodesic from β to $\gamma = \alpha \vee \beta$. Then consider the composition of the trace morphism at the origin with taking sections on the stratum $\mathbb{T}(\mathfrak{p}_{\max})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{N}(U)}(P_\alpha, P_\beta) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}} & \mathrm{Hom}(\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\beta, P_\alpha)|_U, \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N})|_U) \\ & \searrow^{f} & \downarrow \\ & & \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}_{\max}}(P_{q(\beta)}, P_{q(\alpha)}), HH_*(Rep(\vec{R}_{\max}))) \end{array}$$

We know from the Verdier duality argument above that the top two are abstractly isomorphic and are either both zero or k. The only nontrivial case to check is when $\alpha \leq \beta$, where they are both isomorphic to k. So in that case it is enough to check the injectivity of

$$f : \mathrm{Hom}(P_\alpha, P_\beta) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Hom}(P_{q(\alpha)}, P_{q(\beta)}), HH(Rep(\vec{R}_{\max})))$$

But by definition this map factors through the isomorphism $\mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{T}}(P_\alpha, P_\beta) \to \mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}_{\max}}(P_{q(\alpha)}, P_{q(\beta)})$. Moreover, the map

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}_{\max}}(P_{q(\alpha)}, P_{q(\beta)}) \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Hom}_{\vec{R}_{\max}}(P_{q(\alpha)}, P_{q(\beta)}), HH(Rep(\vec{R}_{\max})))$$

is the adjoint map to the trace pairing, so the morphisms of sheaves induces an isomorphism at the origin. The calculation of the other stalks are analogous and can be obtained by a substitution of $\vec{R}$ for $\vec{T}$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{R}}[-d]$ for $\omega_{\mathbb{T}}[-d]$. □

Example. Consider the arboreal singularity $\mathbb{T}$ for the quiver $T = \alpha \to \beta \leftarrow \gamma$. Here $\beta = \alpha \vee \gamma$, and the sheaves $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\alpha, P_\gamma)$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}_{\mathcal{N}}(P_\gamma, P_\alpha)$ are the constant sheaves respectively supported on the subsets $\mathbb{T}(\alpha, \gamma)$ and $\mathbb{T}(\gamma, \alpha)$ of Figure 5, which are switched by Verdier duality (up to a shift).

5. Global orientations

We have constructed local orientations on the local models $(\mathbb{T}, \mathcal{N})$. For an arbitrary locally arboreal space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$, it follows that $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{O})$ is locally isomorphic to the dualizing complex. In this section we study the obstruction to global orientability, and note a class of examples in which it vanishes.

5.1. **The obstruction to orientability.** Note, by Verdier duality, $\underline{Hom}(\omega_{\mathbb{X}}, \omega_{\mathbb{X}}) = k_X$. It follows that on a locally arboreal space $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$, we have $\mathcal{HH}(\mathcal{O}) \cong \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}$, for some locally constant rank one sheaf $\mathcal{L}$. Such sheaves are classified by $H^1(\mathbb{X}, k^*)$.

Theorem 31. *The obstruction to orientability is the image of a class* $w_1(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O}) \in H^1(\mathbb{X}, \pm 1)$.

Proof. Rather than work with sheafified Hochschild homology, we could have worked with a sheafified Grothendieck group $\mathcal{K}_0$. The Dennis trace map from K-theory to Hochschild homology induces a morphism $\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{O}) \to \mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{O})$. Evidently $K_0(Rep(T)) = \mathbb{Z}^{|T|}$. This morphism becomes

an isomorphism after tensoring with k. The above matching with the dualizing sheaf would have all worked just as well for $\mathcal{K}_0$ as $\mathcal{HH}$, except now we can work over $\mathbb{Z}$. In particular we see that $\mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{O}) \cong \omega_X \otimes \mathcal{L}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. Thus $\mathcal{L}$ is classified by an element of $H^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Z}^*) = H^1(\mathbb{X}, \pm 1)$. □

Remark. The space of choices of possible $\mathcal{O}$ over a given locally arboreal space $\mathbb{X}$ is a torsor over

$$H^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{A}ut(\mathcal{O})) \ltimes H^2(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{A}ut(1_{\mathcal{O}})) = H^1(\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Z}) \ltimes H^2(\mathbb{X}, k^*)$$

There are no other terms because there is no higher local automorphisms of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}$, since Hochschild cohomology of the tree quivers is just k in degree zero and nothing else [Toë]. Here, the fact that the connected components of the local automorphisms of the category $\mathcal{O}$ are just the shift functor can be seen by observing that, for an arboreal singularity $\mathbb{T}$, the restriction from $\mathbb{T}$ to the smooth locus of $\mathbb{T}$ remembers the subcategories generated by every indecomposable.

Our $w_1(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$ is the reduction mod 2 of the above H^1 information; which takes values in a vector space rather than a torsor because we have now the basepoint given by comparison with the dualizing sheaf.

5.2. **Global orientations from immersed front projections.**

5.2.1. *The Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf.* One way in which locally arboreal spaces $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{O})$ can arise is by taking, inside the cotangent bundle T^*M of an ambient manifold M, the union of the zero section and a cone over a general position Legendrian which itself has arboreal singularities. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}$ then arises as the restriction of the so-called Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf of categories [N3].

The resulting categories are already quite rich. On the one hand, they provide powerful invariants in symplectic and contact geometry which are closely related to, but conceptually simpler than, the holomorphic curve invariants. For some of their applications and comparisons see, e.g. [NZ, N1, Tam, GKS, Gui, Gui2, STZ, Chi, NRSSZ, She2, STWZ, Gui3, She2, ENS, ENS2]. On the other hand, many spaces of interest for other reasons can be constructed as moduli of objects in these categories, e.g., positroid varieties, cluster algebras from surfaces, and wild character varieties [STWZ, STW]. We will recall some explicit examples in Section 6.

Let us briefly recall the notions of microlocalization and of the Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf of categories. Given a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on a manifold M, the locus of codirections in which the sections fail to propagate is called the microsupport of $\mathcal{F}$. The properties of the microsupport are developed in [KS], where in particular it is shown that the microsupport is a conical co-isotropic subset of T^*M, which is Lagrangian if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is constructible.

Let M be a manifold and $L \subset T^*M$ a conical Lagrangian. We write $sh_L(M)$ for the category of sheaves with microsupport in L. A fundamental result is that the category of sheaves with microsupport in L localizes, not only over M, but in fact over L.

Theorem 32. [KS, Chap. 6] *The category $sh_L(M)$ of sheaves on M with microsupport in L is the global sections of a constructible sheaf of categories on L, obtained by sheafifying the presheaf*

$$U \mapsto \{sh_L(M)\}/\{sh_{L \cup T^*M \setminus U}(M)\}$$

We call this sheaf the Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf on L, and write it as μloc.

In the neighborhood of a smooth point of L, there is a non-canonical isomorphism from μloc to the category of derived local systems on L.

Remark. The above result is essentially proven, but not stated, in [KS], presumably because the requisite homotopical-algebraic foundations enabling manipulations of sheaves of dg categories were not current at the time of writing of that book. However, given such foundations, the above statement can readily be extracted from results in [KS]; see e.g. [Gui, N2] or [STW, Sec. 3.1].

In particular, a (possibly singular) Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty M$ carries a Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf, given by restricting the Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf from the union of M with the positive cone over Λ. It follows from the theory of contact transformations developed in [KS, Sec. 7] that the stalk of this sheaf at a point depends only on the local contact geometry. A more global version of this statement appears in [GKS].

The relation to the sheaves of categories on the locally arboreal spaces is the following:

Theorem 33. [N3] *For each a rooted tree $\vec{T}$, there is a Legendrian embedding $\mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow T^\infty \mathbb{R}^T$ and a canonical isomorphism $\mathcal{N} \cong \mu loc$.*

Remark. It follows from the theory of contact transformations that for *any* Legendrian embedding $\mathbb{T} \hookrightarrow T^\infty \mathbb{R}^T$ (with behavior at the singularities constrained in a sense clarified in [N4]), there is again an isomorphism $\mathcal{N} \cong \mu loc$. The union of the cone over $\mathbb{T}$ and the zero section $\mathbb{R}^T$ is again an arboreal singularity, corresponding now to the graph obtained by attaching one vertex below the root of $\vec{T}$ and making this the new root. Again the sheaf μloc on this larger space is identified with the sheaf $\mathcal{N}$.[3]

5.2.2. *Immersed front projections.* We say that a Legendrian $\Lambda \subset T^\infty M$ has an immersed front projection when the projection $\Lambda \to M$ is an immersion. In this case, there is a natural identification of the Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf with the category of local systems on Λ, i.e., $\mu loc(\Lambda) = loc(\Lambda)$. For this result in the embedded case, see [KS, Chap. 4]; the immersed case is no different, an explicit discussion can be found in [STW].

We say that the front projection has *normal crossings* when it is locally diffeomorphic to a union of coordinate hyperplanes.

Lemma 34. *Let M be a manifold, $\Lambda \subset T^\infty M$ a smooth Legendrian with normal crossings projection Let $m \in M$ be a point where the front projection of Λ is immersed with normal crossings image. Let U be a conical neighborhood of m in $\mathbb{X} = M \cup \mathbb{R}_+ \Lambda$. Then there is a canonical isomorphism of $(U, \mu loc)$ with (a trivial factor times) an arboreal singularity corresponding to a star quiver, given by a single root vertex with as many leaves as there points of Λ over m.*

[3] Something to this effect is asserted but not proven in the current version of [N3]; hopefully a proof will be provided in a later version or a later paper.

Proof. Let $\pi : T^\infty M \to M$, and $d = \dim M$. Around a smooth point of $\pi(\Lambda)$ *on* the base M, U is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d$ with a d-dimensional half-space glued to a coordinate hyperplane, which is homeomorphic to the local model $\mathbb{A}_2 \times \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$.



FIGURE 7. The case $d = 2$. The neighborhood of a point in the front projection of the Legendrian Λ is homeomorphic to either $\mathbb{A}_2 \times \mathbb{R} = \mathbb{S}tar_1 \times \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{A}_3 = \mathbb{S}tar_2$. Note that in general it is the star quivers $Star_k$ that appear, and not the A_k series

Similarly, around a singular point of $\pi(\Lambda)$ by the normal crossings condition, U is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d$ with k half-spaces glued along k coordinate hyperplanes. Consider now the arboreal singularity $\mathbb{S}tar_k$ corresponding to the star quiver with k leaves; this is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^k$ with k half-spaces glued along coordinate hyperplanes. By comparison we have a homeomorphism $U \cong \mathbb{S}tar_k \times \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$. □

Consider now the Kashiwara-Schapira sheaf $\mu loc_{\mathbb{X}}$. By the inspection above and results of Nadler [N3, N4], on a neighborhood homeomorphic to some arboreal singularity model $U \cong \mathbb{S}tar_k \times \mathbb{R}^{d-k}$, this sheaf is locally isomorphic to the Nadler sheaf of categories $\mathcal{N}_{\mathbb{S}tar_k}$. So $(\mathbb{X}, \underline{\mu loc_{\mathbb{X}}})$ is a locally arboreal space and by the following result a sufficient criterion for its orientability is the orientability of the base manifold M.

Theorem 35. *Let M be a compact oriented manifold of dimension d, with a given orientation $\underline{k}_M \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_M[-d]$. Let $\Lambda \subset T^\infty M$ be a smooth Legendrian whose projection to M has normal crossings, and $\mathbb{X} = M \cup \mathbb{R}_+\Lambda$. Then the locally arboreal space $(\mathbb{X}, \mu loc_{\mathbb{X}})$ admits a local orientation, given by an isomorphism $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mu loc_{\mathbb{X}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_{\mathbb{X}}[-d]$, extending the orientation on M, i.e. which agrees with the given orientation on M when restricted to the smooth locus on M.*

Proof. To establish a local orientation we just have to pick a coherent set of choices of local isomorphisms $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mu loc_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_U[-d]$. By 4.3, we can pick each local isomorphism by fixing three sets of data: a decomposition of U into overlapping "discs" (each homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^d$) labelled by vertices of the quiver, coherent orientations of the discs, and a choice of root for the quiver. The first two determine distinguished basis sets in the stalks of $\omega_{\mathbb{X}}$ and the latter determines distinguished basis sets in the stalks of $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mathcal{N})$.

In our case, the decomposition of each neighborhood is given by the natural coorientation of the front projection of the Legendrian: we declare the discs to be glued along the base on the same



FIGURE 8. The coorientation of the front projection $\pi(\Lambda)$ defines a decomposition of $U \cong \mathbb{A}_3$ into discs $\mathbb{A}_3(\alpha)$ labelled by each vertex of A_3. The choice of rooting is given by picking the center vertex of the quiver (which labels the disc along M) to be the root, and the orientation on the discs is the unique one extending the orientation on the base disc.

side of $\pi(\Lambda)$ as the codirection coming from that coorientation. We pick the root to be the vertex corresponding to the base disc, which is the center vertex of the star quiver. The orientation on M also picks an orientation of every disc. Since M is oriented, and the coorientation of $\pi(\Lambda)$ is constant along each of its components, this is a coherent set of choices, i.e. is preserved by restriction. Since $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mu loc_{\mathbb{X}})$ and $\omega_{\mathbb{X}}[-d]$ are both sheaves of vector spaces concentrated in degree zero, this determines uniquely a global isomorphism of sheaves $\mathcal{HH}_*(\mu loc_{\mathbb{X}}) \to \omega_{\mathbb{X}}[-d]$. □

Corollary 36. *Let $\Lambda \to T^\infty M$ be the inclusion of a smooth closed Legendrian into the cosphere bundle of a manifold, such that the induced morphism $\Lambda \to M$ is an immersion. Then the microlocal restriction morphism $sh_\Lambda(M) \to loc(\Lambda \cup \partial M)$ induces a $(3 - \dim M)$-shifted Lagrangian morphism on moduli spaces.*

Proof. We can perturb Λ so its image has real normal crossings; by [GKS] this does not change the relevant categories or morphisms. □

6. EXAMPLES

In this section we recall various categories which arise as the global sections of the sheaf of categories on an oriented locally arboreal space. As a consequence of our results, we conclude the existence of certain shifted Lagrangian morphisms, which give rise to shifted symplectic structures, Poisson structures, quantizations, etc. in the appropriate circumstances [PTVV, CPTVV]. Direct application of our methods yield constructions in the "type A" cases; e.g. the moduli space for a point is $Perf$ inside which one can find the various BGL_n. We expect that the desired symplectic structures for the analogous moduli spaces for other groups can be constructed via Tannakian considerations as in [Sim, Sec. 6], but do not develop this in detail here.

Remark. In this section for ease of notation we will not distinguish between a dg category and the moduli stack of its objects, so for example $Perf$ will denote both the category and classifying stack of perfect complexes

6.1. **The associated graded of a filtration.** Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a comb: a one-dimensional space formed as the union of $\mathbb{R}$ and the positive cone on some n points $\{p_i\}$ at positive contact infinity. The category $Sh_{\{p_i\}}(\mathbb{R})$ is equivalent to the category $Filt_n$ of n-step filtered complexes, which just means sequences

$$F_0 \to F_1 \to \ldots \to F_n$$

Corollary 37. *The morphism*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} Filt_n & \to & Perf^{n+1} \times Perf \\ F_0 \to \ldots \to F_n & \mapsto & (F_0, Cone(F_0 \to F_1), Cone(F_1 \to F_2), \ldots, Cone(F_{n-1} \to F_n)),\ F_n \end{array}$$

induces a 2-shifted Lagrangian morphism on moduli spaces.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 36. Alternatively, the fact that the comb is orientable follows from its being contractible. □

Remark. Note that following the description in 35, the decomposition into "discs" (here intervals) is such that the boundary of the ith interval is given by the endpoints $(+\infty) - (p_i)$, if we pick the positive orientation on the base manifold $\mathbb{R}$. So in the Lagrangian map of moduli stacks $Filt_n \to Perf^{n+1} \times Perf$, the first factor $Perf^{n+1}$ is endowed with the opposite 2-shifted symplectic structure, where the last factor $Perf$ has the usual 2-shifted symplectic structure.



FIGURE 9. The comb $\mathbb{X}$. We pick a decomposition of $\mathbb{X}$ into overlapping intervals; in this case the ith interval has endpoints p_i and $+\infty$, and extending the positive orientation on $\mathbb{R}$, its boundary is $(+\infty) - (p_i)$.

Restricting to the open substack $Filt_n^\circ$ where all F_i and all $Cone(F_i \to F_{i+1})$ can be represented by vector spaces in degree zero, we see that the images of the morphism above in each factor $Perf$ lands in some substack $BGL_{k_i} \subset Perf$, and moreover that each map $F_i \to F_{i+1}$ is injective. Denoting $m_0 = \dim F_0, m_i = \dim Cone(F_i \to F_{i+1})$ and $m = \dim F_n$, this implies that $m_0 + \cdots + m_n = m$. So $Filt_n^\circ$ splits into components labelled by collections of integers $m, \{m_i\}$, and each component has a 2-shifted Lagrangian morphism

$$Filt^\circ_{m,\{m_i\}} \to (BGL_{m_0} \times \cdots \times BGL_{m_n}) \times BGL_m$$

which can be interpreted as a 2-shifted Lagrangian correspondence between BGL_m and BL for the Levi subgroup corresponding to the partition $\{m_i\}$. This was originally shown in [Saf2], where a Lagrangian correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & BP & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ BG & & BL \end{array}$$

is used to define the "partial group-valued symplectic implosion". Note that once we fix the decomposition $m = m_0 + \cdots + m_n$, we can identify the substack $Filt^{\circ}_{m,\{m_i\}}$ as the classifying space BP for the parabolic P corresponding to L: a map from some other space $X \to Filt^{\circ}_{k,\{k_i\}}$ determines an invariant filtration of the vector space k^m, therefore up to equivalence it is the data of a P-bundle over X.

6.2. **Invariant filtrations near punctures on surfaces.** Let Σ be an oriented surface with boundary; draw a collection of n_i concentric circles at each boundary component, and choose coorientations and therefore Legendrian lifts. Let Λ denote the union of these lifts. Just as in the previous subsection, the corresponding category $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)$ amounts to the category of sheaves on Σ with invariant filtrations at the punctures. Note that $\Lambda \cup \partial\Sigma$ is just a union of $N = \sum n_i$ circles.

Corollary 38. *The morphism $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma) \to Loc(\Lambda \cup \partial\Sigma) = Loc(S^1)^N$ is 1-shifted Lagrangian.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 36. □

Again, we can take the substack $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)^\circ$ on which the restriction of the sheaf to Σ has cohomology concentrated in degree zero; the resulting space is an Artin stack is the classical sense, and as in the comb example above, it has components labelled by the microlocal ranks along the boundary components. To get a space with a symplectic structure, one can choose correspondingly another 1-shifted Lagrangian morphism to the moduli space of local systems around the boundary $Loc(S^1)^N$.

Corollary 39. *The moduli space of local systems on a surface equipped with invariant filtrations at the punctures, of which the conjugacy classes of the associated graded holonomies are prespecified, carries a 0-shifted symplectic structure.*

Proof. As in Safronov [Saf1], this can be deduced from the above corollary (which has a different proof in that paper) by observing that fixing a conjugacy class C in a reductive group G determines a Lagrangian morphism $[\frac{C}{G}] \to [\frac{G}{G}] \to Loc(S^1)$. □

Example. Consider Σ an oriented surface with boundary $\partial\Sigma$ = union of n circles, without any Legendrians. The Artin stack $Sh(\Sigma)^\circ$ has disjoint components $Loc_{GL_m}(\Sigma)$ labelled by the rank m of the local system, and so we have 1-shifted Lagrangian morphisms

$$Loc_{GL_m}(\Sigma) \to (Loc_{GL_m}(S^1))^n = \left[\frac{G}{G}\right]^n$$



FIGURE 10. On the surface Σ with two punctures, and with fixed microlocal rank along the concentric circles of Λ. If we look at the substack of $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)$ of objects with rank 0 at the punctures, the microlocal rank conditions mean we have rank 3 local systems equipped with invariant filtrations near each puncture; in this particular case we have two filtrations respectively of the form $0 \subset k \subset k^2 \subset k^3$ and $0 \subset k \subset k^3$

and picking n conjugacy classes C_i in G, we can perform the intersection and get the "tame" character variety of Σ.

Example. Take Σ to be the open cylinder with n concentric circles around one of the boundary components, and no circles around the other. Fixing the ranks $m = m_0 + m_1 + \cdots + m_n$ at each boundary, we get a 1-shifted Lagrangian morphism

$$Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)^\circ \to Loc_{GL_m}(S^1) \times Loc_{GL_{m_0}}(S^1) \times \cdots \times Loc_{GL_{m_n}}(S^1) = \left[\frac{G}{G}\right] \times \left[\frac{L}{L}\right]$$

where L is the Levi subgroup corresponding to $\{m_i\}$. This example also appears in [Saf2], as a 1-shifted Lagrangian correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \left[\frac{P}{P}\right] & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ \left[\frac{G}{G}\right] & & \left[\frac{L}{L}\right] \end{array}$$

where the identification of our space with $[\frac{P}{P}]$ comes from the observation that an invariant filtration on Σ is the same data as a P-local system on S^1.

Another way of deducing this particular case is by writing Σ as the product of a circle and a comb (as in 6.1). Therefore we have an equivalence of derived stacks

$$Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma) = RMap(S^1, Filt_n)$$

and the Lagrangian correspondence is obtained from the correspondence in section 6.1 by applying $RMap(S^1, -)$, which shifts the degree down to the 1-shifted Lagrangian correspondence above.

Remark. In the rank one case, when $k_i = 1$, the correspondence $[\frac{B}{B}] \to [\frac{G}{G}] \times [\frac{T}{T}]$ is a group version of the Grothendieck-Springer correspondence, and we have $[\frac{B}{B}] \cong [\frac{\tilde{G}}{G}]$ where G acts on the Springer resolution $\tilde{G}$ by conjugation.



FIGURE 11. The restriction to the upper boundary components can be assembled into a map to $[\frac{L}{L}]$ where L is a Levi subgroup of $G = GL_m$ given by the integers $\{m_i\}$. The restriction to the lower boundary components is a map to $[\frac{G}{G}]$ giving the monodromy of the G-local system

6.3. **Stokes filtrations near punctures on surfaces.** Rather than take an invariant filtration around a puncture, one can allow the filtration itself to undergo monodromy. The resulting notion generalizes the notion of Stokes structure; we will call it a Stokes filtration. In most works, this was presented as suggested above: in terms of a sheaf on the boundary circle equipped with a filtration that itself varies. This notion can be found e.g. in [Mal]. Defining what precisely it means for a filtration to vary along a circle is nontrivial and somewhat mysterious at the points where the steps in the filtration cross.

We prefer to turn this notion sideways: rather than a filtered sheaf on S^1 with varying filtration, we take a sheaf on $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}$ with microsupport in a prescribed Legendrian braid closure. This determines a filtration in the $\mathbb{R}$ direction, just as in the previous examples; as it happens, the above notion exactly captures at the crossings the notion in [Mal] of Stokes filtration. This idea seems to have been known to the experts, but we have not found any systematic exposition of it in the classical literature.[4]

We made some attempt in this direction in [STWZ, Sec. 3.3]. Here we simply recall that the Deligne-Malgrange account of the irregular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence on Riemann surfaces can be formulated as follows. Suppose we are given a Riemann surface Σ with marked points p_i, and a specification of a (possibly ramified) irregular type τ_i, i.e., formal equivalence class of irregular singularity, at each. Then there is an associated Legendrian link $\Lambda = \coprod \Lambda(\tau_i)$, a union of links localized near the p_i, and an equivalence of categories between the irregular connections with these singularities, and the full subcategory of $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)$ on objects which have cohomology concentrated in degree zero, and appropriate rank stalks and microstalks. The corresponding component of the

[4]More precisely, we only know the following other occurrences of this picture. In [DMR], there is a letter from Deligne in which the Stokes sheaf is viewed as a sheaf on an annulus rather than a filtered sheaf on a line. In [KKP], the idea that a Legendrian knot can be associated to a Stokes filtration appears as a remark. Finally, the drawing of at least the projection of a knot already appears in the original work of Stokes [Sto].

moduli space is the moduli space of Stokes data. Finally, the microlocal restriction morphism $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma) \to Loc(\Lambda)$ is what would have classically been called "taking the formal monodromies".

Corollary 40. *The morphism from a moduli space of Stokes data to the moduli space of formal monodromies is 1-shifted-Lagrangian.*

Corollary 41. *A moduli space of Stokes data with formal monodromies taking values in prescribed conjugacy classes is 0-shifted-symplectic. In particular, any open substack which happens to be a scheme is symplectic in the usual sense.*

This recovers and generalizes all constructions of symplectic structures in e.g. [Boa4, Boa5, BY, Mei] for GL_n connections.

Example. (Wild character variety) Consider a disc Σ punctured at the origin and a trivial rank n vector bundle $E \to \Sigma$, where the origin is marked with the irregular type

$$Q(z) = \frac{A}{z^r}, \qquad r \in \mathbb{Z}, A \in \mathfrak{t}^{reg} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$$

i.e. A has all distinct eigenvalues. A meromorphic connection ∇ on E has this irregular type if it can be brought by a local analytic gauge transformation to the connection defined by the connection one-form dQ.

By the irregular Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, the category of meromorphic connections on the trivial vector bundle with this irregular type is equivalent to the category of Stokes data. From the Stokes data, one can recover the monodromy of ∇ and the formal monodromy; this latter is an element of the centralizer $Z_G(A)$ (in this case, the maximal torus T) up to conjugation.

The moduli of Stokes data with fixed monodromies and formal monodromies is commonly known as the *wild character variety*. Upon fixing conjugacy classes C_G, C_T in G and T, the wild character variety can be described as a quasi-Hamiltonian quotient [Boa5, Boa6]

$$(G \times T \times (U_+ \times U_-)^r) /\!\!/_{C_G, C_T} (G \times T)$$

where $U_\pm$ are the unipotent subgroups corresponding to the maximal torus T. The moment map to G is taking the monodromy around the singularity, and the map to T is taking the formal monodromy.

In our description, this category of Stokes data becomes a full subcategory of the category $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)$ of microlocal sheaves, for a corresponding Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset T^\infty$ around the singularity. The monodromy and formal monodromy then become literal monodromies of the local systems one gets by restriction to the boundary. To explicitly construct Λ, one can follow the prescriptions in [STWZ, Sec. 3.3]. This can be heuristically stated in terms of the asymptotics of flat sections, i.e. the growth behavior of the solutions to

$$\frac{df}{dz} = \frac{dQ}{dz} f(z)$$

In this case, the solutions are spanned by n different solutions $f_i \sim \exp(\lambda_i z^{-r})$, where λ_i are the eigenvalues of A, and we only keep the exponential part of the asymptotics. The Stokes phenomenon refers to the fact that these corresponds to asymptotics of solutions in different sectors; as we go from one sector to the other, the growth of these solutions changes. On each sector, we draw concentric strands for the f_i, ordering them by growth: the faster-growing ones further from the origin. Whenever we cross a Stokes ray, where the solutions f_i, f_j switch growth asymptotics, we introduce a crossing between the i and j strands.



FIGURE 12. The Legendrian link for the irregular type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\frac{1}{z^3}$. The Legendrian link $\Lambda \subset T^\infty\Sigma$ is obtained by lifting the projection using the outward coorientation. The dashed lines are the Stokes rays, where the asymptotics of formal solution changes. Note that each component of the link is unknotted with itself: this is true of all the examples of this form.

In the case we described above ($A \in \mathfrak{t}^{reg}$), the corresponding Legendrian link Λ is the closure of a $(n, 2r)$ braid , cooriented outward, where we enforce the condition that the rank of the stalk inside Λ is zero, and the microlocal ranks on each component of Λ is one. What we call the moduli of Stokes data $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ is the moduli of objects in the full subcategory of $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)^\circ$ with those rank conditions. The maps given by restriction to the boundary components can be assembled into a 1-shifted Lagrangian map

$$\mathcal{M}_\Lambda \to \left[\frac{G}{G}\right] \times \left[\frac{T}{T}\right]$$

where $[\frac{G}{G}]$ is G-local systems on the boundary of the disc, and $[\frac{T}{T}]$ is rank one local systems on Λ. In this description, taking quasi-Hamiltonian quotient corresponds to taking intersection with another Lagrangian $[\frac{C_G}{G}] \times [\frac{C_T}{T}]$. The explicit description of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ can be obtained by following the prescriptions in [STZ]; one can check that this stack can in fact be expressed as the quotient $[(G \times T \times (U_+ \times U_-)^r)/(G \times T)]$, agreeing with the previously existing description.

Example. With the same notation of the previous example, consider the irregular type

$$Q = \frac{A}{z^{r/2}}, \qquad A \in \mathfrak{t}^{reg} \subset \mathfrak{gl}_n$$

where r is some odd number. Following the discussion in the last example, we get n solutions $f_i \sim \exp(\lambda_i z^{-r}))$ The strands i and j will cross whenever f_i and f_j "switch" growth asymptotics. Suppose for instance that $\lambda_i, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}$. Writing $z = Re^{i\theta}$ the asymptotics will switch whenever $Re(z^{-r/2}) = 0$, i.e. on the rays

$$\theta = \frac{\pi}{r} + \frac{2n\pi}{r}$$

There are r such rays between any pair i, j even if $\lambda_i, \lambda_j \notin \mathbb{R}$: the expression for the rays is more complicated by the number of rays doesn't change. Therefore Λ is the closure of a (n, r) braid.



FIGURE 13. The Legendrian link for the irregular type $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \frac{1}{z^{3/2}}$. The microlocal rank on the link Λ is 1.

The case where $n = 2, r = 3$ gets us a trefoil and appears in Stokes' discussion of the Airy equation [Sto]. Note that the trefoil has only one component, so the formal monodromy map lands in $Loc_{k^*}(S^1) \cong [\frac{k^*}{k^*}]$ which doesn't stand for $\frac{T}{T}$ for any maximal torus $T \subset GL_2$. This explains why this more general case cannot be described just in terms of moment maps into subgroups of G.

Remark. One can play many variations on the theme of Stokes filtrations and irregular singularities. Considering connections with matrices A that are not in the regular locus of $\mathfrak{g}$, it becomes necessary to look at further less singular terms in the expression for dQ. To find the corresponding Legendrians one can still follow the prescriptions in [STWZ]. One obtains cablings of torus knots by other torus knots and cablings of torus knots by such cablings and so on.

But there are many other knots that one can consider: pick any positive braid and close it around the origin into a Legendrian Λ. Picking rank conditions and monodromies around the components of Λ, this gives a symplectic space $\mathcal{M}_\Lambda$ that doesn't necessarily come from an irregular meromorphic singularity. We can expect these spaces to carry some of the same structures as the tame and wild character varieties; whether this is true remains a topic of future research.

Remark. For moduli of Stokes data for connections on higher dimensional complex varieties, the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert theorem recently been proven [AK]. We expect that it can be reformulated into an analogous "microsupport in certain smooth Legendrians" version, from which we would be able to immediately deduce the existence of the shifted symplectic structure.

6.4. **Positroid varieties, multiplicative Nakajima varieties, and other cluster structures.** The combinatorics of cluster algebras arising from surfaces was originally organized around data given variously as a graph on a surface, a triangulation on a surface, etc [Pos, FG, GSV, GSV2, FST]. One presentation of this data is in terms of the so-called "alternating strand diagram", the manipulation of which by combinatorial topology [Thu] underlies various theorems of the cluster algebra.

In [STWZ], we took the perspective that the alternating strand diagram should be viewed as a Legendrian knot, that triangulations of the surface give rise to Lagrangian fillings of it, and that all the corresponding cluster algebraic formulas are computing the Floer homology between such fillings. In particular, the corresponding cluster X-variety was identified as a moduli space of "rank one" objects in $Sh_\Lambda(\Sigma)$, where Λ is the Legendrian lift of the alternating strand diagram, and Σ is the base curve.

In [STW], we took a slightly different perspective: rather than work from Σ, Λ, we began with a Legendrian L — one could view it as one of the above-mentioned fillings of Λ — and attached Weinstein handles to its cotangent bundle along Legendrians which project to simple closed curves. This perspective is yet more general than the previous.

It includes as a special case the multiplicative Nakajima quiver varieties of [CS, Yam, BK]; this being the case where the attaching circles are contractible. Indeed, this case is very close to the presentation in [BK]. In that reference, rather than locally arboreal singularities, they consider the spaces which are locally either a smooth surface or modeled on the Lagrangian singularity given by the union of the zero section and the conormal to point. However, this local model admits a noncharacteristic deformation to the union of the zero section and the positive conormal to a circle. The deformation is just given by the contact isotopy induced by the Reeb flow; the fact that it is noncharacteristic follows then immediately from [GKS].

Thus, the present work recovers all constructions of symplectic and Poisson structures on such spaces. It will be interesting to investigate how the deformation quantization formalism of [CPTVV] interacts with these notions.

6.5. **The augmentation variety of knot contact homology.** Consider a knot or link $K \subset S^3$. Naturally associated to this is the category of sheaves constructible with respect to the stratification $S^3 = K \cup S^3 \setminus K$. This study of this category led recently to a proof that the Legendrian isotopy type of the conormal torus to a knot determines the knot [She2]. In an appropriate sense, it is equivalent to the a category of augmentations of knot contact homology [ENS2].

The union of the conormal to the knot with the zero section is not arboreal, but as the above discussion of [BK], this can be remedied by perturbing the conormal torus by the Reeb flow, resulting in a skeleton given by the union of the zero section and the positive conormal to the inward (or outward) co-oriented boundary of a tubular neighborhood of the knot.

In any case, we can study the space of objects in this category. It has a map to the category of local systems on T^2, which by the results here, becomes a 0-shifted Lagrangian morphism on moduli spaces. We note that the study of this moduli space was also suggested in [BK].

To select a connected component (indeed, the connected component corresponding to what is usually called the augmentation variety), we can pick those sheaves whose microsupport on the conormal torus is rank one in degree zero, i.e., what are called *simple sheaves* in [KS]. We restrict further to the open locus on which objects which have no global sections, the main point of which is to eliminant constant summands. Let us write $A_1(K)$ for this component.

Restriction to the microlocal boundary gives a map $A_1(K) \to Loc_{k^*}(T^2) = (k^*)^2$. There is an analogous map in knot contact homology, described in [Ng, EENS, AENV]. Note that objects in $A_1(K)$ are easy to understand: they are a local system in the complement of K, which is extended by a codimension one subspace of meridian invariants along K; or possibly a nontrivial rank one local system supported on K.

From this point of view it is clear both why $A_1(K)$ contains the classical A-polynomial curve, and also what are the other components: the A polynomial curve has to do with SL_2 representations of the fundamental group; any such becomes, after rescaling by an eigenvalue of the meridian, a GL_2 representation with a meridian invariant subspace. Similarly it is clear what the other components of $A_1(K)$ are. (Compare [Cor] for direct proofs of the analogous statements about the augmentation variety, from which it can be deduced that $A_1(K)$ as described here is the augmentation variety of knot contact homology, even without appeal to [ENS2].)

Thus we have shown that the morphism $A_1(K) \to k^* \times k^*$ is (0-shifted) Lagrangian. Quantization of this morphism features prominently in the conjectures of [AENV].

REFERENCES

[AK] Andrea D'Agnolo and Masaki Kashiwara, *Riemann-Hilbert correspondence for holonomic D-modules*, arXiv:1311.2374.

[AMM] Anton Alekseev, Anton Malkin, and Eckhard Meinrenken, *Lie group valued moment maps*, J. Differential Geom. 48.3 (1998), 445–495.

[AENV] Mina Aganagic, Tobias Ekholm, Lenhard Ng, and Cumrun Vafa, *Topological strings, D-model, and knot contact homology*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 18.4 (2014), 827–956.

[AB] Michael Atiyah and Raoul Bott, *The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces*, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 308.1505 (1983): 523-615.

[BBBJ] Oren Ben-Bassat, Christopher Brav, Vittoria Bussi, and Dominic Joyce, *A 'Darboux Theorem' for shifted symplectic structures on derived Artin stacks, with applications*, arXiv:1312.0090.

[BGP] I. N. Bernstein, Izrail Gel'fand, and V. A. Ponomarev, *Coxeter functors and Gabriel's theorem*, Russian mathematical surveys 28.2 (1973), 17–32.

[BK] Roman Bezrukavnikov and Mikhail Kapranov, *Microlocal sheaves and quiver varieties*, arXiv:1506.07050.

[Boa] Philip Boalch, *Symplectic Manifolds and Isomonodromic Deformations*, Adv. Math. 163.2 (2001): 137–205.

[Boa2] Philip Boalch, *Stokes matrices, Poisson Lie groups and Frobenius manifolds*, Invent. Math. 146 (2001), 479–506.

[Boa3] Philip Boalch, *G-bundles, isomonodromy and quantum Weyl groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2002), no. 22, 1129–1166.

[Boa4] Philip Boalch, *Quasi-Hamiltonian geometry of meromorphic connections*, Duke Math. J. 139 (2007).

[Boa5] Philip Boalch, *Geometry and braiding of Stokes data; Fission and wild character varieties*, Ann. Math. 179 (2014): 301–365.

[Boa6] Philip Boalch, *Global Weyl groups and a new theory of multiplicative quiver varieties*, Geometry & Topology 19.6 (2015), 3467–3536.

[BY] Philip Boalch and Daisuke Yamakawa, *Twisted wild character varieties*, arXiv:1512.08091.

[Cib] Claude Cibils, *Hochschild homology of an algebra whose quiver has no oriented cycles*, in Representation Theory: Finite Dimensional Algebras, LNM 1177 (Springer 1986), 55–59.

[Cal] Damien Calaque, *Lagrangian structures on mapping stacks and semi-classical TFTs*, arxiv:1306.3235.

[CPTVV] Damien Calaque, Tony Pantev, Bertrand Toën, Michel Vaquie, Gabriele Vezzosi, *Shifted Poisson Structures and Deformation Quantization*, arXiv:1506.03699.

[Chi] Sheng-Fu Chiu, *Non-squeezing property of contact balls*, arXiv:1405.1178.

[Cor] Christopher Cornwall, *KCH representations, augmentations, and A-polynomials*, arXiv:1310.7526.

[CS] William Crawley-Boevey and Peter Shaw, *Multiplicative preprojective algebras, middle convolution and the Deligne-Simpson problem*, Adv. Math. 201 (2006), 180-208.

[Cur] Cynthia Curtis, *An intersection theory count of the $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$-representations of the fundamental group of a 3-manifold*, Topology 40.4 (2001), 773-787.

[DMR] Pierre Deligne, Bernard Malgrange, and Jean-Pierre Ramis, Singularités irrégulièrs: Correspondance et Documents, (SMF 2007).

[EENS] Tobias Ekholm, John Etnyre, Lenhard Ng, and Michael Sullivan, *Knot contact homology* Geom. Topol. 17.2 (2013), 975–1112.

[ENS] Tobias Eckholm, Lenhard Ng, and Vivek Shende, *A complete knot invariant from contact homology*, in preparation.

[ENS2] Tobias Eckholm, Lenhard Ng, and Vivek Shende, *Contact Fukaya categories*, in preparation.

[FR] Vladimir Fock and Alexei Rosly, *Poisson structure on moduli of flat connections on Riemann surfaces and r-matrix*, arxiv:9802054.

[FG] Vladmir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Moduli spaces of local systems and higher Teichmüller theory*, Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS **103** (2006), 1–211.

[FG2] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Cluster ensembles, quantization and the dilogarithm*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. 42 (2009): 865–930.

[FG3] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Dual Teichmüller and lamination spaces*, arXiv:math/0510312.

[FG4] Vladimir Fock and Alexander Goncharov, *Cluster $\mathcal{X}$-varieties, amalgamation, and Poisson-Lie groups*, in Algebraic geometry and number theory (Birkhäuser 2006): 27-68.

[FST] Sergey Fomin, Michael Shapiro, and Dylan Thurston, *Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. Part I: Cluster complexes*, Acta Mathematica **201**.1 (2008), 83–146.

[FSS] Kenji Fukaya, Paul Seidel, and Ivan Smith, *Exact Lagrangian submanifolds in simply-connected cotangent bundles*, Inventiones mathematicae 172.1 (2008): 1-27.

[GPS1] Sheel Ganatra, John Pardon, and Vivek Shende, *Generating the wrapped Fukaya category of a Weinstein manifold*, in preparation.

[GPS2] Sheel Ganatra, John Pardon, and Vivek Shende, *Localizing the wrapped Fukaya category of a Weinstein manifold*, in preparation.

[GSV] Michael Gekhtman, Michael Shapiro, and Alek Vainshtein, *Cluster algebras and Poisson geometry*, Mosc. Math. J., 3.3 (2003): 899–934.

[GSV2] Michael Gekhtman, Michael Shapiro, and Alek Vainshtein, *Cluster algebras and Weil-Petersson forms*, Duke Mathematical Journal 127.2 (2005): 291–311.

[GM] Mark Goresky and Robert MacPherson, *Intersection Homology II*, Inventiones Mathematicae **77** (1983), 77–129.

[Gol] William Goldman, *The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces*, Advances in Mathematics, **54**.2 (1984), 200–225.

[Gui] Stéphane Guillermou, *Quantization of conic Lagrangian submanifolds of cotangent bundles*, arxiv:1212.5818.

[Gui2] Stéphane Guillermou, *The Gromov-Eliashberg theorem by microlocal sheaf theory*, arXiv:1311.0187.

[Gui3] Stéphane Guillermou, *The three cusps conjecture*, arxiv:1603.07876.

[GKS] Stéphane Guillermou, Masaki Kashiwara, and Pierre Schapira, *Sheaf Quantization of Hamiltonian Isotopies and Appli- cations to Nondisplaceability Problems,* Duke Math J. **161** (2012): 201–245.

[FST] Sergey Fomin, Michael Shapiro, and Dylan Thurston, *Cluster algebras and triangulated surfaces. Part I: Cluster complexes*, Acta Math. 201 (2008), 83–146.

[Hit] Nigel Hitchin, *Stable Bundles and Integrable Systems*, Duke. Math. J. **54** (1987), 97–114.

[Hap] Dieter Happel, *On the derived category of a finite-dimensional algebra*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **62** (1987), 339–389.

[HJ] Johannas Huebschmann and Lisa Jeffrey, *Group cohomology construction of symplectic forms on certain moduli spaces*, International Mathematics Research Notices 1994.6 (1994), 245–249.

[Kar] Yael Karshon, *An algebraic proof for the symplectic structure of moduli space*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 116.3 (1992), 591–605.

[KKP] Ludmil Katzarkov, Maxim Kontsevich and Tony Pantev, *Hodge theoretic aspects of mirror symmetry*, arXiv:0806.0107.

[Kel1] Bernhard Keller, *On differential graded categories*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, (Eur. Math. Soc., 2006), 151–190.

[Kel2] Bernhard Keller, *Invariance and localization for cyclic homology of DG algebras*, J. Pure and Applied Algebra, **123** (1998), 223–273.

[KS] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, Sheaves on Manifolds, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschafte **292** (Springer-Verlag, 1990).

[Kon] Maxim Kontsevich, *Symplectic geometry of homological algebra*, www.ihes.fr/~maxim/TEXTS/Symplectic_AT2009.pdf

[KoS] Maxim Kontsevich and Yan Soibelman, *Cohomological Hall algebra, exponential Hodge structures and motivic Donaldson-Thomas invariants*, arXiv:1006.2706.

[LS] David Li-Bland and Pavol Severa, *Moduli spaces for quilted surfaces and Poisson structures*, arxiv:1212.2097.

[LS2] David Li-Bland and Pavol Severa, *Symplectic and Poisson geometry of the moduli spaces of flat connections over quilted surfaces*, arxiv:1304.0737v2.

[Lod] Jean-Louis Loday, Cyclic Homology, (Springer 2013).

[Lur] Jacob Lurie, The "DAG" series, http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie

[Mal] Bernard Malgrange, *La classification des connexions irrégulières à une variable*, in Mathematics and physics (Paris, 1979/1982); Progr. Math. **37** (Birkhäuser 1983) 381–399.

[Mei] Eckhard Meinrenken, *Convexity for twisted conjugation*, arXiv:1512.09000.

[N1] David Nadler, *Microlocal branes are constructible sheaves*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **15** (2009), no. 4, 563–619.

[N2] David Nadler, *Fukaya Categories as Categorical Morse Homology*, SIGMA 10 (2014). arxiv:1109.4848.

[N3] David Nadler, *Arboreal Singularities*, arxiv:1309.4122.

[N4] David Nadler, *Non-characteristic expansions of Legendrian singularities*, arxiv:1507.01513.

[NZ] David Nadler and Eric Zaslow, *Constructible Sheaves and the Fukaya Category*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (2009), 233–286.

[Ng] Lenhard Ng, *Framed knot contact homology*, Duke Math. J., 141.2 (2008), 365–406.

[NRSSZ] Lenhard Ng, Dan Rutherford, Vivek Shende, Steven Sivek, and Eric Zaslow, *Augmentations are Sheaves*, arXiv:1502.04939.

[OV] Hirosi Ooguri and Cumrun Vafa, *Knot invariants and topological strings*, Nucl. Phys. B 577 (2000).

[PTVV] Tony Pantev, Bertrand Toën, Michel Vaquie, and Gabriele Vessozi, *Shifted symplectic structures*, Publications mathématiques de l'IHÉS 117.1 (2013), 271–328.

[Par] Rajagopalan Parthasarathy, *t-structures in the derived category of representations of quivers*, Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci - Mathematical Sciences 98.2 (1988), 187–214.

[Pos] Alexander Postnikov, *Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks*, arXiv:0609764.

[Pri1] Jonathan Pridham, *Shifted Poisson and symplectic structures on derived N-stacks*, arXiv:1504.01940.

[Pri2] Jonathan Pridham, *Deformation quantisation for (-1)-shifted symplectic structures and vanishing cycles*, arXiv:1508.07936.

[Saf1] Pavel Safranov, *Quasi-Hamiltonian reduction via classical Chern-Simons theory*, arxiv:1311.6429.

[Saf2] Pavel Safranov, *Symplectic implosion and the Grothendieck-Springer resolution*, arxiv:1411.2962.

[She] Vivek Shende, *Generating families and constructible sheaves*, arXiv:1504.01336.

[She2] Vivek Shende, *The conormal torus is a complete knot invariant*, arxiv:1604.03520.

[STW] Vivek Shende, David Treumann, and Harold Williams, *On the combinatorics of exact Lagrangian surfaces*, arxiv:1603.07449.

[STWZ] Vivek Shende, David Treumann, Harold Williams, and Eric Zaslow, *Cluster Varieties from Legendrian Knots*, arxiv:1512.08942.

[STZ] Vivek Shende, David Treumann and Eric Zaslow, *Legendrian Knots and Constructible Sheaves,* arXiv:1402.0490.

[Sik] Adam Sikora, *Character varieties*, Trans. of AMS 364 (2012), 5173–5208.

[Sim] Carlos Simpson, *Higgs bundles and local systems*, Publications Mathmatiques de l'IHÉS 75.1 (1992), 5-95.

[Sto] George Stokes, *On the discontinuity of arbitrary constants that appear in divergent developments*, Transactions of the Cambridge Philosophical Society 10 (1864): 105.

[Tam] Dmitry Tamarkin, *Microlocal condition for non-displaceablility*, arXiv:0809.1584.

[Thu] Dylan Thurston, *From dominoes to hexagons*, arXiv:math/0405482.

[Toë] Bertand Toën, *The homotopy theory of dg-categories and derived Morita theory*, Inventiones mathematicae 167.3 (2007), 615-667.

[Toë2] Bertand Toën, *Higher and derived stacks: a global overview*, in: Algebraic geometry: Seattle 2005, Part I, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math **80** (AMS 2009).

[Toë3] Bertand Toën, *Derived algebraic geometry*, EMS Surv. Math. Sci. **1** (2014), 153–240.

[TVa] Bertrand Toën and Michel Vaquie, *Moduli of objects in dg-categories*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **40**.3 (2007), 387–444.

[TV1] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi, *From HAG to DAG: derived moduli stacks*, in: Axiomatic, enriched, and motivic homotopy theory, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math Phys. Chem. **131** (Kluwer Acad. Publ. 2004), 173–216.

[TV2] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi, *Homotopical algebraic geometry, I. Topos theory*, Adv. Math. **193**.2 (2005), 257–372.

[TV3] Bertrand Toën and Gabriele Vezzosi, *Homotopical algebraic geometry, II. Geometric stacks and applications*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **193** (2008).

[Wei] Alan Weinstein, *The symplectic structure on moduli space*, The Floer memorial volume, (Birkhäuser 1995), 627–635.

[Wit] Edward Witten, *Quantum field theory and the Jones polynomial*, Communications in Mathematical Physics 121.3 (1989), 351-399.

[Wit2] Edward Witten, *Chern-Simons gauge theory as a string theory*, The Floer memorial volume, (Birkhäuser 1995), 637–678.

[Yam] Daisuke Yamakawa, *Geometry of multiplicative preprojective algebras*, arxiv:0710.2649.